

This case is being handled by attorney Mr. drs. A.G.W. van Kessel of the law firm Van Kessel Advocatuur with offices in Leeuwarden (8938 AG) at Orionweg 47E, as well as by attorney Mr. P.W.H. Stassen, affiliated with the law firm Stassen & Kemps Advocaten in (5611 CV) Eindhoven at Nachtegaallaan 6;

Court of Northern Netherlands
Seat Leeuwarden

Roll date : Wednesday 11 June 2025 at 10:00 AM
Casenumber : C/17/190788
Rollnumber : 2023/172

CONCLUSION OF REPLY
also
INCREASE OF CLAIM EX ART. 130 RV.

regarding:

1. Mr. [REDACTED], living in Sneek;
2. Mrs. [REDACTED], living in Sneek;
3. Mr. [REDACTED], living in Sneek;
4. Mrs. [REDACTED], living in Doetinchem;
5. Mr. [REDACTED], living in Doetinchem;
6. Mrs. [REDACTED];
7. Mr. [REDACTED], living in Leeuwarden;

- claimants –

Lawyers: Mr. drs. A.G.W. van Kessel and Mr. P.W.H. Stassen

against:

(government officials)

1. Mr. EVERHARDUS ITE HOFSTRA, living in [REDACTED];
2. Mr. JAAP TAMINO VAN DISSEL, living in [REDACTED];

3. Mrs. MARIA PETRONELLA GERARDA KOOPMANS, living in [REDACTED];
4. Mr. MARK RUTTE, living in [REDACTED];
5. Mrs. SIGRID AGNES MARIA KAAG, living in the municipality [REDACTED];
6. Mr. HUGO MATTHEÛS DE JONGE, living in [REDACTED];
7. Mr. ERNST JOHAN KUIPERS, currently without known residence or abode;
8. Mr. DIEDERIK ANTONIUS MARIA PAULUS JOHANNES GOMMERS, living in [REDACTED];
9. Mr. WOPKE BASTIAAN HOEKSTRA, currently without known residence or abode;
10. Mrs. CORNELIA VAN NIEUWENHUIZEN, currently without known residence or abode;

Lawyers: mr. R.W. Veldhuis and mr. M.E.A. Möhring

(pharmaceutical industry)

11. Mr. ALBERT BOURLA, living in [REDACTED];

Lawyer: mr. D.C. Roessingh and mr. M.G. Bredenoord-Spoek

(mass media)

12. Mrs. GISELLE JACQUELINE MARIE-THERÈSE VAN CANN, living in [REDACTED];
13. Mr. PAUL JANSEN, currently without known residence or abode;

Lawyer: mr. L. Broers and mr. R.H.W. Lamme

(non-governmental organization (NGO, WEF))

14. Mr. FEIKE SIJBESMA, living in [REDACTED];

Lawyers: mr. R.W. Veldhuis and mr. M.E.A. Möhring

15. Mr. WILLIAM HENRY BILL GATES III, living in [REDACTED].

Lawyer: mr. W. Heemskerk

(semi-government)

16. Mrs. AGNES CATHARINA VAN DER VOORT-KANT, currently without known place of residence or abode:

Lawyer: mr. A.H. Ekker

(government)

17. The STATE OF THE NETHERLANDS, seated in The Hague;

Lawyers: mr. R.W. Veldhuis and mr. M.E.A. Möhring

- defendants -

The claimants, hereinafter referred to as '██████ et al.', respectfully state and conclude in reply:

I. General part ¹

1. ████████ et al. dispute everything that has been stated by the defendants, hereinafter referred to as 'Hofstra et al.' and 'defendants' respectively in their statements of defence, unless the facts stated are in accordance with the statements in the summons of ████████ et al. or are explicitly acknowledged as true in this statement of reply. In this respect, ████████ et al. maintain the statements from the summons. The motivated dispute of all facts, circumstances and data stated by the State et al. lies in the fact that the facts, circumstances and data stated by ████████ et al. are incompatible with those stated by the defendants. In view of this, ████████ et al. explicitly address only a limited number of (sub)topics from the statements of defence in their reply.
2. The reading guide included in the introductory summons also applies to this conclusion of reply. The latter with the proviso that that which is stated outside the general part of this conclusion also applies to all defendants, so that in assessing the liability of one of the defendants, account must also be taken of what ████████ et al. have stated and submitted as evidence with regard to the other defendants.

¹ N.a.v. r.o. 3.2 of the judgment of your court dated February 5, 2025

3. [REDACTED] et al. expressly state that the defendants all had and have knowledge of the Covid-19: The Great Reset project and are (co-)executors of it; what one defendant knows and knew, the other defendant also knows and knew. Against this background, [REDACTED] et al. have based their claims against the defendants partly on group liability because the defendants, as members of the group (executors of the Covid-19: The Great Reset project), committed their unlawful acts and/or omissions.
4. In their statements of defence, the defendants show that they prefer the lie to the Truth. In their statements, the defendants let the lie rule. That lie has already been raised earlier by [REDACTED] et al., namely during the conclusion of the oral hearing of the jurisdictional incident raised by Gates. At the conclusion of that oral hearing, Mr Van Kessel, on behalf of [REDACTED] et al., made the remark that he would return to the 'preferential reality' in these proceedings. That preferential reality is a large-scale lie (partly) set up and maintained by the actual rulers and their executors, including the defendants.
5. The fact that defendants persist in their denials after reading the summons and cannot muster any empathy for the terrible situation in which many people worldwide, including [REDACTED] et al., have ended up as a result of the measures of the Covid-19 pandemic pretended in the preferred reality and the toxic Covid-19 mRNA injections, speaks volumes. This fact constitutes important evidence against defendants.
6. In their response, the defendants raise the question of why these events alleged by [REDACTED] et al. in the context of the Great Reset would (could) actually manifest themselves, noting that this remains unclear after reading the summons. Specifically in response to this question raised by the defendants, [REDACTED] et al., for the purposes of the procedural debate and the mutual understanding required for this, discuss the subject of the preferential reality in this introductory chapter of the reply.
7. In the program Wakker Nederland (WNL) on Sunday, April 15, 2018, Major General, field service and NATO advisor since 2003, Mr. Van Kappen, confirmed the existence and purpose of the preferential reality. He indicated that the preferential reality is currently being created on a project basis with the help of large-scale information operations. With these information operations, facts and events are manipulated in such a way that a preferential reality is projected onto the civilian population, including [REDACTED] et al. Van Kappen calls this 'telefast reality'. Van Kappen leaves no doubt that the defendants are participating in this. The reason for the existence of the so-called information operation by Van Kappen is because of a strategic interest. Van Kappen did not explain exactly which strategic interest is served, but with his statements he confirmed a strategic interest to bring about large-scale social changes. In this interview, Van Kappen also acknowledged the existence of secret large-scale top-led information operations to serve this secret strategic interest. It was also remarkable that Van Kappen left no doubt that 'all parties' participate in these so-called information operations. By speaking about manipulating facts and events, Van Kappen clearly confirmed that it is about turning true facts and events into disinformation with (among other things) the strategic goal of imposing a preferential reality on the

population itself (including [REDACTED] et al.). As explained in the summons, this is essentially exactly the deception that a large part of the Dutch population, including [REDACTED] et al., fell victim to. As evidence of the above, the recording of WNL on Sunday 15 April 2018 and a Wikipedia printout with regard to Van Kappen's curriculum vitae are submitted as **exhibit 92**.

8. The preferential reality has many facets. One important part of the preferential reality is a false image of who the actual rulers and their executors are. In the preferential reality, parties live in a democratic constitutional state and the ultimate power lies with the people's representatives and the ministers have the task of carrying out the will of the people. In the democratic constitutional state, that power is limited by the law to which the State considers itself bound and over which the people's representatives have no influence (fundamental rights). If that law is under discussion, the court decides on this in the highest instance.
9. In the preferential reality, in addition to or above (supranational) the rule of law, there are only intergovernmental organisations that aim to safeguard the interests of the affiliated rule of law states through international cooperation. Important players in this area are the United Nations and NATO. These intergovernmental organisations work together with private bodies that are designated as NGOs in the summons. Important NGOs for this case are the World Economic Forum (WEF) and the World Health Organisation (WHO). These NGOs would also exclusively serve the interests of the democratic rule of law states and their citizens in the preferential reality.
10. In reality, however, the actual rulers and their executors are not the people's representatives and not even the judge. All the other parties mentioned above are not democratically controlled. The Dutch population, including [REDACTED] et al., therefore has no real influence on the government. However, these organizations, supported by defendants, all participate in the manipulation of facts and events in such a way that the Truth is erased and replaced by a preferred reality. The Great Reset is driven by precisely these powers and forces to which the actual rulers and their executors, including the defendants, - as evidenced by their conclusions - have completely surrendered.
11. The Minister of Health, Welfare and Sport and First Deputy Prime Minister in the now outgoing Schoof cabinet, Marie-Fleur (Fleur) Agema, stated on 27 October 2024 in the House of Representatives shortly after taking office as Minister that she had been informed about resilience and its significance for her post on 'pandemic preparedness'. In doing so, the Minister publicly acknowledged that by accepting her assignment, she is under the leadership of the National Coordinator for Counterterrorism (NCTV) and is implementing the NATO assignments she has accepted under his leadership, 'and that is painful'. A video of this public confession by the Minister of Health, Welfare and Sport dated 27 October 2024 is submitted as **exhibit 93**. Which NATO assignments are involved in the 'pandemic preparedness' she mentioned is evident from, among other things, interdepartmental email correspondence from her ministry dated 3 June 2020. A copy of this email is submitted as **exhibit 94**. This email shows that interdepartmental consultations were held on the preparation of the political position

on a proposal initiated by the USA (Pentagon) for the creation of emergency stocks in relation to Covid-19 within NATO. In this correspondence, the question is raised whether this initiated proposal by the USA (Pentagon) fits within the NATO mandate. This clearly concerns the creation of stocks of Covid-19 injections as part of the project Covid-19: The Great Reset.

12. The NATO guidelines (guidance) have been published on the website of the United Kingdom government with regard to the NATO doctrine for StratCom, PsyOps and InfoOps as methods for establishing a preferential reality. The member states must each give their own interpretation to this NATO doctrine according to a blueprint imposed by NATO. Given the extreme nature and large-scale scope of the activities that take place within the framework of this doctrine, there is an immense influence on the perception and experience of the civilian population. This NATO doctrine concerns exactly the same information operation and 'telefast reality' about which Van Kappen made his public confession as NATO advisor.
13. StratCom (Centre of Excellence for Strategic Communication) is a NATO component based in Riga, Latvia, tasked with conducting Information Operations (InfoOps) and Psychological Operations (PsyOps). These activities qualify as a modern form of propaganda.
14. Within StratCom, the narratives are forged that are implemented and protected with InfoOps and PsyOps. A consistent narrative is crucial for the effectiveness of these clandestine military covert operations. In the context of Covid-19: The Great Reset, NATO, on behalf of the USA (Pentagon), has implemented and protected the narrative that Covid-19 is a disease that originated as a result of the Sars-Cov2 virus that was transmitted from a bat to a human at an animal market in Wuhan.
15. Because the defendants in these proceedings, as Agema also states, are bound by NATO objectives and therefore must serve the project Covid-19: The Great Reset, the defendants - and presumably their respective litigators - are not allowed to deviate from this narrative. Their statements of defence demonstrate this. The documents published on the United Kingdom website regarding NATO doctrine are submitted as **exhibit 95**.
16. As for the role and objectives of StratCom, there is also a big difference between the Truth and the preferred reality. In the preferred reality, StratCom operates within the mandate of NATO as a defense alliance against foreign military attacks. The Truth, however, is that NATO is a ruthless executive institution at the service of the actual rulers for the implementation of, among other things, the Covid-19 project: The Great Reset. Characteristic of these projects, which are partly implemented by StratCom, is that they target their own civilian population with the ultimate goal of achieving a New World Order through a Great Reset. Evidence for the fact that the so-called NATO mandate – which is also mentioned in the interdepartmental email dated June 3, 2020 (exhibit 94) – is a farce. StratCom's Program of Work for the year 2024 (**exhibit 96**) shows that StratCom's information operations and PsyOps also extend to the narratives

set up and implemented by StratCom regarding Climate Change, Mass Immigration and the Ukraine conflict.

17. It should be clear that in the preferential reality it is not NATO's task to engage in manipulation of the population of its member states in order to keep them in a preferential reality. The truth is, however, that NATO, as a ruthless executive organization, targets its own civilian population and the defendants fully support these actions and help implement them in the context of the Covid-19 project: The Great Reset.
18. With regard to the role of NATO with regard to NATO doctrine discussed in this introduction, it should be noted that NATO is also part of the Covid-19: The Great Reset project set out in the summons and that the implementation of the Great Reset is a project in which, as Van Kappen puts it, all parties are participating. These parties include the UN, the WEF, NATO, the WHO, the EU and the State of the Netherlands. In this context, the summons discusses in detail the rollout of UN Agendas 21 and 2030 in order to ultimately arrive at the New World Order through a Great Reset. The Great Reset is therefore not an exclusive NATO project, but a project that is partly implemented with NATO involvement.
19. The clandestine InfoOps and PsyOps are outgrowths of an underlying spiritual battle. This spiritual battle is described in the letter of the apostle Paul to the Ephesians ²:

For we wrestle not against flesh and blood, but against principalities, against powers, against the rulers of the darkness of this world, against spiritual wickedness in high places.

Wherefore take unto you the whole armor of God, that ye may be able to withstand in the evil day, and having done all, to stand.

Stand therefore, having your loins girt about with truth, and having on the breastplate of righteousness;

And your feet shod with the preparation of the gospel of peace;

Above all, taking the shield of faith, wherewith ye shall be able to quench all the fiery darts of the wicked.

And take the helmet of salvation, and the sword of the Spirit, which is the word of God.

20. The lie that is the preferred reality goes so far that most people are not aware that everything that the actual rulers and their executors, including the defendants in their

² Efiziërs 6:12-17

conclusions of answer, present as truth regarding the origin and functioning of Creation ('science') is deliberately mendacious and false. In reality, this is a deliberate attack on the Creator and creation (including in particular man himself). The ultimate goal here is to eradicate, root and branch, the animated man made in the image of his Creator, who is in connection with his Creator.

21. In order to achieve the complete disconnection between man and his Creator (with the intended result of the end of the animate human being), a 'Great Reset' is taking place in which the animate human being is transformed with the help of a false belief in technology and technological possibilities. The Great Reset strives for a 'Human 4.0', a human being in which the bodily functions and thinking (cognition) are so technically influenced that there is no longer a animate human being. In the preferred reality, this Great Reset is completely denied by the actual rulers and their executors, so that its underlying spiritual importance cannot be discussed. The changes in the technological field are projected in the preferred reality as 'scientific progress' that can bring many good things to people. In this, the underlying intentions of these expensive technological and especially digital developments are concealed. It is significant that this technology is made available to the public 'free of charge'.
22. This genocide of the animate human being thus occurs firstly on a spiritual level. By giving the human being in the preferential reality the idea that it is not the Creator (in whose image he is created) and the creation that determine the ability to live a good life, but the (forced) participation in the preferential reality (the large-scale lie), the human being becomes increasingly distant from his Creator and loses his or her life inspiration. The human being literally loses his or her soul. As a result, the animate human being is lost in a spiritual sense, or 'lost from God'.
23. This genocide is secondarily physical, including the use of Covid-19 (mRNA) injections. People are weakened, sickened, changed, sterilized and/or killed by the various batches of this poison, preventing them from reaching their full potential as human beings. People are also made sick by influencing (other aspects of) the living environment. In this conclusion, the emphasis is on the toxic Covid-19 (mRNA) injections propagated by the defendants.
24. The fact that the Covid-19 injections contain mRNA technology and nanotechnology is a clear indication that the actual rulers and their executors also want to change man himself (his DNA) by means of mRNA and (by means of nanotechnology) want to make him part of the 'Internet of Bodies' mentioned in the summons. As **exhibit 97**, [REDACTED] et al. submit the WEF Briefing Paper regarding the Internet of Bodies. The spiritual aspect involved is obvious to those who 'want to see and hear': the actual rulers aim to create a situation in which man is no longer (purely) created in the image of his Creator. This means (mRNA) is used by the actual rulers and their executors (also) for the benefit of the spiritual battle with the aim of (by changing the DNA) completely turning man away from his Creator. Nanotechnology serves to offer an 'alternative' in the preferred reality to his or her natural bond with his or her Creator, whereby he or she can enter into a bond with a 'new creation', namely the absorption into the Internet of Bodies (part of the all-encompassing World Wide Web) with which

in the preferred reality man is merged with technology and through this could even become 'immortal'. In this context, the actual rulers speak of the 'Fourth Industrial Revolution' and 'transhumanism'. The WEF also explicitly mentions this on its website (**exhibit 98**). The physical and spiritual aspects of these false promises cannot be understood separately.

25. The struggle in which the actual rulers and their executors, including the defendants, participate is therefore a struggle against the inspired human being (including ██████ et al.).
26. The contradiction between the Truth and the preferred reality is expressed in these proceedings in the irreconcilable positions of the parties regarding what the Covid-19 injections actually are. ██████ et al. take the position that this demonstrably and provably concerns a bioweapon with which the actual rulers and their executors, including the defendants, commit genocide on, among others, the Dutch people, including ██████ et al. The use of this bioweapon is part of the 'Great Reset' described in the summons. Here, the defendants oppose their own uniform narrative, namely that of the preferred reality.
27. In the context of the preferential reality, ██████ et al. point out to your court and the parties that a request for provisional evidence is pending before your court, in which the defendants in these proceedings are the applicants and in which international experts have been put forward to provide evidence of the existence of the Great Reset, the bioweapon and the genocide as referred to here. ██████ et al. also offer the same expert evidence in these proceedings, referring to Chapter III and paragraph 44 of this Conclusion of Reply. Apart from that, it should be noted that the positions of ██████ et al. regarding the Great Reset, the bioweapon and the genocide are based on valid evidence. After the introduction of the summons, the evidence that ██████ et al. have come to have has only increased.
28. As a result of the increased evidence, ██████ et al. have further deepened their knowledge in the period of almost two years after the summons, resulting in an even deeper awareness that makes them aware of, among other things, the true significance of a number of institutions that play a crucial role in the Covid-19: The Great Reset project. This concerns NATO and the European Union in particular. Because the defendants are implementing the Covid-19: The Great Reset project, of which the Covid-19 (mRNA) injections are a crucial part, ██████ et al. consider it of great importance to submit this deepened awareness to your court and to include it in the positions of ██████ et al. in these proceedings.
29. The role of NATO has already been discussed above when it comes to information operations in order to project a preferential reality onto the public. It should be noted that the Covid-19: The Great Reset project is not exclusively a NATO project, but a global project that is implemented by (almost) all international organizations. The European Union, of which the Netherlands is a member state, is also an important executor of this project, which can count on the full cooperation of all defendants. Here too, it applies that the European Union is not what the preferential reality wants the

civilian population of the Netherlands, including [REDACTED] et al., to believe. The following applies to this.

30. On 14 June 2022, EU Commission President Ursula van der Leyen received a so-called 'honorary doctorate' from Ben Gurion University in Israel. In her speech in that context, Van der Leyen stated that Europe is the values of the Talmud. For that reason, according to Van der Leyen, Europe and Israel are connected friends and allies of each other. As **exhibit 99**, [REDACTED] et al. submit a copy of the video recording of her confession during Van der Leyen's speech of 14 June 2022.
31. The meaning of Van der Leyen's statement that Europe is the value of the Talmud is not understood in the preferential reality, because most people do not know what the Talmud is and what the Talmud really stands for. With this public confession, Van der Leyen has however spoken the complete truth about what the EU really stands for, namely the values of the Talmud. By Van der Leyen stating that the values of the Talmud are the EU, it is certain that the EU population, including [REDACTED] et al., is being misled and deliberately placed in a preferential reality.
32. Before going into more detail about the values of the Talmud/EU as described in the Talmud, [REDACTED] et al. would like to first pay attention to a number of examples of the 'values' expressed by the EU itself in its architecture, art, events and exhibitions.
33. The most striking and symbolic building that represents the EU is the parliament building in Strasbourg. This building clearly depicts the Biblical Tower of Babel through its architecture. Babel, located in Babylonia, is described in the Old Testament as the place where man rebelled against his Creator by building the Tower of Babel. In that rebellion, man formed himself into one people, with one official religion and one language. Biblical history describes how the Creator intervened in this evil plan of man, as a result of which the construction of this tower was not completed. The EU parliament building in Strasbourg has the shape of the unfinished Tower of Babel and thus symbolises a continuation of the Biblical Babylon. As **exhibit 100**, [REDACTED] et al. submit a photo of both the EU parliament building and the Tower of Babel as painted by Bruegel.
34. A life-size 'work of art' of a woman sitting backwards on a bull has been placed in front of the EU building in Strasbourg. This woman symbolises Princess Europa, who is known in Greek mythology. In mythology, the bull is associated with the Greek god Zeus. In the preferred reality, this 'work of art' is explained by the myth that Zeus fell in love with Princess Europa after he saw her on the beach in Sidon and therefore assumed the form of a white bull. According to the myth from the preferred reality, Zeus seduced Princess Europa to sit on his back and then swam to Crete together, where they had loving intercourse. However, this explanation/myth projected in the preferred reality conceals the Truth that Zeus in mythology is equal to Satan and therefore one should not speak of a loving intercourse, but of the abduction and rape of Princess Europa. With this work of art, for those who 'want to see and hear it', this abduction and rape of Europa is elevated to 'art'. As **exhibit 101**, [REDACTED] et al. submit a

photo of the aforementioned 'work of art' of Zeus in the form of a bull with Princess Europa on its back.

35. Another example of how the EU promotes its Talmudic values concerns the organization of the 2024 Olympic Games in Paris. In the opening ceremony of this event, a large decor was shown in which people took their places in a composition that corresponded to that of Jesus Christ and his disciples in the Last Supper, which is very important for Christians. The way in which this decor was formed by drag queens during the Olympic Games cannot be qualified from a Christian perspective as anything other than extremely serious blasphemy. After all, in this composition the person of Jesus Christ was depicted as an unsightly dressed and made-up fat drag queen with a seven-pointed crown on his fat head. The number seven has several meanings in the Bible, including divine completeness. In Genesis, the story of creation, it concerns the creation of, among other things, the man and the woman who were created in the image of the Creator himself. In the Bible, the covenant between man and woman is a holy covenant and man and woman are only complete in that covenant. By placing a drag queen with a seven-pointed crown in place of Jesus Christ in the depiction of the Last Supper, the story of creation, the Creator and his Son (Jesus Christ) are completely ridiculed and it is made explicitly clear which values contrary to the Bible the EU adheres to. During the opening ceremony it did not stop at this one very serious blasphemy. Also, by a procession of a depicted pale horse, an explicit reference was made to the Revelations from the Bible in which this horse symbolizes death. In addition, the image of a golden calf was used as a symbol of the aversion to the Creator and an object for the worship of a false god. An explanation convincingly explaining that this cannot be a mistake or art can be found on YouTube and █████ et al. refer to it. Here too, the EU has shown the Talmudic values from which it emerged and which still govern the EU to this day. As **exhibit 102**, █████ et al. submit a photo of part of the opening scene of the Olympic Games.
36. The examples discussed above of the Talmudic values promoted by the EU tie in seamlessly with the values as contained in the texts of the Babylonian Talmud. █████ et al. are aware of the fact that a distinction can be made between the Babylonian Talmud and the Jerusalem Talmud. Since the most striking building of the EU represents the Tower of Babel, it is clear that the President of the European Commission, Van der Leyen, who knows this building like no other, meant the Babylonian Talmud. For this reason, █████ et al. will assume the Babylonian Talmud in the following.
37. The Talmud is the Talmudic interpretation of the Torah. The Torah, also spelled Tora or Torah, are the first five books of the Tenach (Hebrew Bible) and the first five books of the Old Testament respectively³. In the Babylonian Talmud, 'teachers' of the Jewish faith (Rabbis) use their interpretation of the Torah to explain their worldview and conviction regarding the nature of humanity. In doing so, these 'teachers' make a strict distinction (resulting in dualism) between people of their own 'religious origin' and others. The others, including █████ et al., are referred to by these 'teachers' as 'Goyim'⁴.

38. Hereafter, a number of positions from the Babylonian Talmud with regard to the view on Goyim (including █████ et al.) with their source will be mentioned. This is possible because the Talmud was first translated from Hebrew (to Latin) by the Polish-Russian Catholic priest I.B. Pranaitis at the end of the nineteenth century. It was this priest Pranaitis who in his book 'Christianus In Talmude Judaeorum' in 1892 exposed the true intentions behind the interpretation of the aforementioned 'teachers' in the Jewish faith as an attack on Christianity. A copy of the cover of this book as well as a statement of the source of this book is submitted as **exhibit 103**.

Goyim are not people
(Baba Metzia, 114b)

Goyim may be killed without punishment
(Sanhedrin 57a)

Goyim are pagans and must all be killed
(Soferim 15).

Sex with girls from the age of three onwards involving sexual intercourse is permitted (Sanhedrin 54b and Kethuboth 11b)

Stealing from Goyim is permitted
(Baba Mezia 24a)

Deceiving and lying to Goyim is permitted in order to outsmart them
(Baba Kamma 113a)

Jesus Christ was sexually immoral and the God he worshipped was a brick
(Sanhedrin 107b)

39. The spiritual charge of these interpretations from the Talmud taken as an example is in accordance with the spiritual charge of the way in which humanity is being murdered, robbed, sterilized, and misled in the implementation of the project Covid-19: The Great Reset. For this reason, the defendants, who are all participating in this project, are acting unlawfully. This unlawful conduct continues as long as this project continues and the defendants do not refuse to oppose the implementation of this project, which is their social duty.
40. With this introduction, █████ et al. also aim to share their insights with the court on the how and why of the extreme manipulations and deceptions that led to them having a Covid-19 injection, which would not have happened if the defendants had not cooperated in the implementation of the Covid-19 project: The Great Reset and/or had opposed it in accordance with their social duty. With regard to these insights, █████ et al. cannot fail to mention that the malicious Covid-19 project: The Great Reset with the aim of forming a new world order (The New World Order) is symbolized by a newly introduced flag. This flag is projected into the preferred reality as an emphasis on the importance of equal opportunities, diversity and inclusion and is embraced by all

authorities worldwide, including, for example, the Judiciary, the Dutch Bar Association (NOvA), the Public Prosecution Service and the police. As an example of this, a photo portrait of the members of the General Council of the NOvA is submitted as **exhibit 104**, as well as a number of other photos showing this flag.

41. The colours of this flag (vide exhibit 104) are, according to the projection in the preferred reality, derived from the rainbow, which in the preferred reality also explains the name of this flag, namely the 'Rainbow Flag'. The Truth is, however, that this flag has nothing to do with equal opportunities, diversity and inclusion. In reality, this flag is about a malicious and false interpretation of the spiritual meaning of the rainbow, which is important to Christians. This Christian meaning is explained in the Biblical book of Genesis. For Christians, the rainbow is the sign given by Almighty God to confirm his promise that humanity will no longer experience a flood. The Divine rainbow that every human being can see has seven colours, of which the most important colour is the Heavenly Light Blue, which symbolises the Kingdom of Heaven. The Rainbow Flag projected onto humanity in the preferred reality consists of six instead of seven colours, whereby the Divine Heavenly Light Blue is deliberately missing. In a symbolic sense, it is thus depicted that the Tower of Babel will be completed and inanimate man will succeed in his rebellion against Almighty God.
42. This is what the New World Order, which is to be brought about with a Great Reset, actually means in reality and in which context the defendants are cooperating in the implementation of the Covid-19 project: The Great Reset.

II. For the deed of contribution of a copy of vaccination certificates, increase of claim and request for a preliminary hearing.

43. On the role of 11 December 2024, [REDACTED] et al. submitted additional documents in the proceedings by means of a deed, increased their claim and made a request for a preliminary hearing. This deed is hereby submitted as **exhibit 105**. [REDACTED] et al. request your court to consider the contents of this deed as repeated and inserted here verbatim. Whereof deed! [REDACTED] et al. assume at this stage of the proceedings that your court will also accept this increase in claim as a procedural legal fact, so that the claims of [REDACTED] et al. read:

That it may please the court to give judgment,

1. *to declare that defendants as a group and each for itself have continued to act unlawfully towards plaintiffs up to the date of the final decision rendered by your court in this case (including unlawful omission) by deliberately misleading them and the Dutch people in an unlawful manner in order to induce plaintiffs and/or the Dutch people to have Covid-19 injections of which defendants knew, or at least should have known, that these injections are not safe and effective, or at least that the false and misleading narrative propagated by defendants in the context of the project Covid-19: The Great Reset and/or the Covid-19 injections introduced in the context of this project are harmful to the health, fertility and psychological well-being of defendants and/or the Dutch people.*

2. *enforceable provisionally, to order the defendants jointly and severally, in such a way that if one pays the other will be released, to compensate the plaintiffs for their damages, to be determined by statement and settled according to law.*
3. *to order the defendants to pay the costs of these proceedings by means of a costs award to be made by your court, enforceable provisionally.*

44. In addition to the documents submitted with the deed dated December 11, 2024, █████ et al. hereby submit as **exhibit 106** a copy of the corona vaccination registration card with regard to claimant sub 7.

III. Specific offer of evidence in relation to the request pending before your court under reference number C/17/199273 / HA RK 25/17.

45. As **exhibit 107**, █████ et al. submit preliminary evidence in the aforementioned case before your court with reference C/17/199273 / HA RK 25/17 on a copy of the application. █████ et al. fully agree with the findings and positions of the applicants in these proceedings, which amount to the fact that the Covid-19 (mRNA) injections are bioweapons with which genocide is committed by the de facto rulers and their executors for the purpose of the Great Reset. In particular, it also applies to █████ et al. that if, under the influence of a deliberately given false representation of the facts (a false narrative) and under social pressure caused by this false narrative and in violation of art. 7 j° art. 4 Member 2 ICCPR Covid-19 (mRNA) injections do not appear to be vaccines in the context of public health, but that these injections would appear to have been developed as a bioweapon with the primary aim of medically harming the plaintiffs and in fact the (world) population respectively reducing life expectancy in humans, then there is only one possible conclusion, namely that there is a case of the intentional commission in an organized group of an unlawful act against the plaintiffs and in fact against the entire (world) population. █████ et al. are of the opinion that the witness/expert evidence submitted by the applicants in these application proceedings may not be ignored by your court in these proceedings either. █████ et al. expressly offer to hear these international witnesses/experts submitted in the application to prove their position that the defendants, as executors of the project Covid-19: The Great Reset (in a group), acted unlawfully towards █████ et al.

IV. Response to the conclusion of the answer of defendants sub 1 to 12 (The State et al.)

46. The conclusion of the answer of the State et al. essentially amounts to a repetition of the official narrative surrounding Covid-19 that must be uniformly propagated worldwide by project implementers of the project Covid-19: The Great Reset. In no way do the State et al. seriously address the extensive and motivated position and evidence provided by █████ et al. In their conclusion of the answer, the State et al. thus attempt to nip the procedural debate started by █████ et al. in the bud by dismissing the positions of █████ et al. with the now standard meaningless defence that there would be 'conspiracy theories' without any factual basis. To the extent that the State et al. rely on 'facts and circumstances', this concerns manipulated data that were compiled via bodies controlled by the State et al. itself. All these bodies, like the State et al., have committed themselves to the Covid-19: The Great Reset project, which means that it

must be assumed that these ‘facts and circumstances’ are nothing other than the preferred reality that the State et al., as co-executor of this project, projects onto the population, including █████ et al. For this reason, the evidentiary value of the facts and circumstances or data provided by the State et al. is to be considered nil; in reality, it concerns the ‘telefast reality’ identified by Major General Van Kappen.

47. The State et al. open their conclusion of response with the opening sentence: ‘the Covid-19 pandemic was a turbulent period, in which various, sometimes drastic, measures were necessary to protect public health and society’. This is demonstrably a completely criminally mendacious position, which must be taken by the State et al. because projecting precisely this preferential reality is a necessity for the further implementation of the Covid-19 project: The Great Reset. The Truth is that there was nothing other than a ‘political pandemic narrative’ planned and executed globally with malicious intent. This without any medical reason and with the aim of getting as many people as possible globally ‘willing to be vaccinated’ in order to then kill, injure and sterilize them with toxic Covid-19 mRNA injections. All this with the ultimate goal of placing humanity in the reality of the New World Order via a Great Reset.
48. Conclusive evidence that in the aforementioned sense there was merely a ‘political pandemic narrative’ – a PsyOP – can be found in the German Internal COVID-19 Krisenstabsprotokolle of the Robert Koch Institute. More specifically, this concerns minutes of meetings of the RKI Krisenstabs, additional information and email correspondence. The RKI Krisenstabs is essentially the same as the Dutch Outbreak Management Team and fulfilled exactly the same role in the Covid-19 project: The Great Reset. This information has entered the public domain uncensored thanks to the courageous efforts of, among others, the Berlin journalist Aya Velázquez. This information covers tens of Gigabites and was explained in a press conference on 23 July 2024 by Prof. Dr. Stefan Homburg, Aya Velázquez and Bastian Barucker. The minutes of the RKI Krisenstabs are submitted as **exhibit 108**. For the full information, including a video of the press conference, the supplementary material, email correspondence and the RKI minutes, reference is made to the website <https://rki-transparenzbericht.de/> where all of these can be freely viewed and downloaded. Due to the size of these documents, █████ et al. trust your court and the parties to agree to introduce these documents, including the video of the press conference, into the proceedings via this link so that they form part of the case file. A screenshot of the aforementioned website on the internet domain rki-transparenzbericht.de is submitted as **exhibit 109**.
49. The RKI Krisenstabs minutes show that the RKI Krisenstabs was initially completely surprised by the fact that, without medical reason, politicians were talking about a pandemic and the need for far-reaching measures in that regard. The internal conclusion of the RKI was therefore that there was no pandemic. However, in order to implement the Covid-19: The Great Reset project, so much pressure was put on the RKI Krisenstabs that what the RKI Krisenstabs published in the form of recommendations was contrary to its own findings, namely that there was no reason for any measure whatsoever. In this way, the RKI Krisenstabs and its employees became, against their will, executors of the Covid-19: The Great Reset project. This course of events has been

exactly the same in the Netherlands, more specifically within the Dutch OMT. The latter explains why former Minister Ernst Kuipers refused to disclose the transcripts of the audio recordings of the OMT meetings in the period June 2020 to March 2021 in his decision dated 17 March 2023 on a Woo request to that effect (**exhibit 110**).

50. The situation of the non-existent pandemic was explained crystal clear by Professor Doctor Emeritus Stefan Homburg in the aforementioned press conference. The video of this press conference is submitted as **exhibit 111**.
51. The German Doctor (med.) Bodo Schiffmann wrote the book 'Die RKI-Files Das ENT-SCHWÄRZTE VERBRECHEN, wat die Menschen in der "Pandemie" nicht wissen' (The RKI Files, the ENT-SCHWÄRZTE BREAKING, what people in the "Pandemic" should not know) on the occasion of the release of the RKI documents. In this book the RKI minutes are quoted and explained. A copy of this book is submitted as **exhibit 112**. In this book the following is explained on the basis of the RKI minutes:
 - That the RKI already recognized on March 19, 2021 that fewer people die from 'corona' than from the flu and that the Covid-19 injections have no positive effects (page 5);
 - That the RKI enforced with a protocol dated March 24, 2020 to refrain from using a second coroner to determine the (actual) cause of death during cremations of remains and that it was already clear to the RKI on March 31, 2020 that wearing face masks does not offer protection against 'corona infections' (page 26);
 - That patients in hospitals and people in nursing homes were murdered by emergency services on the orders of the German state (page 194);
 - That politicians did not care about the RKI and its findings (page 196);
 - That the RKI internally assessed the situation as not dangerous, but decided that this finding should not be communicated to the public (page 9);
 - That the RKI wondered what the actual danger of this 'pandemic' was (page 23);
 - That every deceased person was registered as a 'corona death' if they had ever had a positive result from a PCR test even once during their lifetime, in order to inflate the numbers of 'corona deaths' (page 102);
 - That the RKI was commissioned by the Ministry of Health (BMG) to prepare a document in case the BMG were to become involved in an investigation (page 103);
 - That the number of available IC beds was tampered with and the RKI knew about this (page 131);
 - That the RKI was engaged in 'Inside Trading' or insider trading (page 138);
 - That the RKI feared a relaxation of measures because this could expose its own criminal role (page 148);
 - That the RKI prescribed to no longer speak of a PCR test, but to choose a different name for the same test because it was known that a PCR test cannot be used to draw conclusions about the presence of 'viruses' (page 156);
 - That on February 3, 2021, it was already completely clear from the figures that people did not die from 'Covid-19', but from the toxic Covid-19 mRNA injections (page 170);

- That the RKI assumed that when using Covid-19 mRNA injections, deaths attributable to this had to be taken into account anyway (page 176);
 - That the RKI recognized that the main risk for dying from 'Covid-19' was old age, just like with the flu, and that people of old age were not protected against this by the Covid-19 mRNA injections (page 177);
 - That four months after the start of the Covid-19 injection campaign, sudden unexpected deaths and hospital admissions increased sharply (page 183).
52. The uncensored RKI data allow no other conclusion than that there is a maliciously designed global project – Covid-19: The Great Reset – that is being rolled out everywhere, including in the Netherlands, according to the same script. It is clear to anyone who wants to see and hear that the official narrative is a farce. Now that more than five years have passed, no SarsCov2 virus has ever been isolated and it has been demonstrated via the so-called scientific gold standard that people would get the pretended disease Covid-19 from infection with this non-existent virus. Anyone who wants to see and hear observes and acknowledges that after the large-scale Covid-19 injection campaign, general public health has deteriorated significantly and many more people are dying than before this Covid-19 injection campaign. The effects of this are reflected, among other things, in an enormous increase in all kinds of serious diseases such as turbo cancers, cardiovascular diseases, diabetes and sudden death, often of people in the prime of their lives. The Truth is that the State's and others' denial of all this is all part of the official narrative and the preferred reality projected within that framework.
53. The information projected by the State et al. in the preferred reality regarding the 'side effects of the Covid-19 vaccines' is based on a great deal of manipulation. [REDACTED] et al. point out the following in this regard. For the purpose of the statistical research that takes place in the preferred reality into the side effects of the falsely designated 'Covid-19 vaccines', the lie is used that in the first two weeks after the injection in question the 'vaccine' would not be active and therefore would not have any 'side effects'. For this reason, people who were injected with this poison were registered as 'not vaccinated' in the first two weeks after injection in the preferred reality. The RKI documents discussed above show how malicious this immense manipulation is, as a result the State et al. literally walk over dead bodies.
54. [REDACTED] et al. are known to have several highly renowned medical scientists who have punctured the preferred reality described above with hard research results.
55. In 2022, a German team of pathologists, including Doctor (med.) Ute Krüger and Professor Doctor (med.) Walter Lang, initiated an extensive scientific pathological study on the initiative of the research leader Professor Doctor (med.) Arne Burkhardt† and Rechtsanwalt Mag. Elmar Becker. This study was completed in June 2023. The study focused on tissues from 89 deceased patients and biopsies from 75 living patients. These patients had all been injected (while alive) with one or more Covid-19 mRNA injections. The study scientifically and peer-reviewedly demonstrates that in 77% of cases the COVID-19 mRNA injection is the cause of death or the cause of their illness.

56. Prof. Doctor med. Arne Burkhardt died in the far final phase of this research on May 30, 2023 under undisclosed circumstances on Lake Constance in Germany before he had the opportunity to publish the results of his research. Burkhardt also intended to present the research results publicly at a pathology conference, which he was no longer able to do due to his death. The peer-reviewed research results were posthumously published on September 4, 2024 by Doctor (med.) Ute Krüger and Professor Doctor (med.) Walter Lang under the name 'vaccinated-dead'³. A copy of this book is submitted as **exhibit 113**.
57. At an earlier pathology conference in Germany, Prof. Burkhardt issued urgent warnings about the consequences for their health, fertility and life expectancy, based on his professional findings, including regarding tissue damage he observed in the testicles of men injected with Covid-19 mRNA. A video of this warning by Prof. Burkhardt is submitted as **exhibit 114**.
58. The actual authorities and their executors, including the State and others, have done everything they can to undermine Prof. Burkhardt's findings and warnings with InfoOps and PsyOps in the implementation of the Covid-19 project: The Great Reset.
59. Not only in Germany, but also in the United States of America, a renowned medical scientist has emerged who took the initiative to set up a large-scale peer-reviewed study of people who died after having a Covid-19 mRNA injection. The initiator for this is Doctor (med.) Peter Andrew McCullough⁴ The study is based on peer-reviewed autopsy studies of 325 people who had received one or more Covid-19 injections shortly before their sudden death. Of these 325 deaths, it was determined that 73.9% of them died as a result of the Covid-19 injections, thus demonstrating a causal link between these deaths and having received the Covid-19 injections. This peer-reviewed study, which could only be published in a scientific edition of the medical journal 'Science, Public Health Policy and the Law' on November 17, 2024 after years of censorship, is submitted as **exhibit 115**.
60. Doctor (med.) Peter Andrew McCullough published another important peer-reviewed autopsy study on February 8, 2025. This study is particularly important for debunking one major lie that was projected into the preferred reality regarding Covid-19 mRNA injections. █████ et al. are referring to the lie that the mRNA in the Covid-19 mRNA injection - which, according to WHO protocol, is administered in the Delta muscle in the upper arm - would only remain in the Delta muscle of the upper arm for a short time because this mRNA would be broken down by the body on the spot. The autopsy study proves that this claim - which has played a major role in the so-called willingness of people, including █████ et al. to be vaccinated - is a complete lie because the spike proteins are expressed in all parts of the body and that this process demonstrably continued unabated in the heart of the deceased patient examined in this study even

³ ISBN-13:978-90-835259-5-2, publisher Corage Media; Martin Z. Schröder Verlag

⁴ Doctor (med.) Peter Andrew McCullough (born December 29, 1962) is a former American cardiologist. He was associate chief of internal medicine at Baylor University Medical Center and a professor at Texas A&M University.

after 555 days after the injection. As **exhibit 116**, [REDACTED] et al. submit the peer-reviewed autopsy study by Doctor (med.) Peter Andrew McCullough dated February 8, 2025.

61. The pathologist anatomist Doctor Ute Krüger, who was previously associated with the team of Prof. Burkhardt†, reported on her professional observation in a scientific contribution in the Berliner Zeitung on October 2, 2024 that from autumn 2021 onwards, the number of cases of rapidly growing cancers in the breasts of women between the ages of 30 and 50 who had been injected with Covid-19 mRNA injections increased sharply. She observed that the cancerous tumors were different from what she had seen and experienced in her many years of career. The contribution in question also discusses other studies from which it follows, among other things, that 30 percent of deaths within two weeks after having a Covid-19 mRNA injection are causally related to the Covid-19 mRNA injection and that the increase in serious autoimmune diseases is also caused by the Covid-19 mRNA injections. A copy of this contribution in the Berliner Zeitung dated 2 October 2024 is submitted as **exhibit 117**.
62. In the implementation of the Covid-19: The Great Reset project, a number of the executors have made extremely big blunders in their total arrogance and hubris. A striking example of this is the public confession made by the CEO of Moderna on the occasion of a WEF podium discussion in Davos, Switzerland 2023. He stated that in 2019 Moderna had a production capacity of only 100,000 ampoules of Covid-19 mRNA injection fluid and that he had already given Moderna the order in that same year 2019 to scale up production from one hundred thousand to one billion ampoules in 2020. When asked by Moderna's production department what this would be good for, the CEO replied that this was necessary because he knew that a pandemic was planned for 2020. The video of the WEF meeting in which Stephane Bancel, the CEO of Moderna, made this public confession is submitted as **exhibit 118**.

Excess mortality

63. In paragraph 2.7, the State et al. addresses the positions of [REDACTED] et al. regarding excess mortality. [REDACTED] et al. respond to this as follows. As indicated above, the facts, circumstances and data presented by the State et al. are derived from the preferred reality (CBS and RIVM) and for that reason cannot serve for a purely scientific debate about what exactly excess mortality is. What can be demonstrated is that the concept of excess mortality is not a fixed arithmetic criterion, but a number that merely expresses how the registered numbers of deaths relate to an earlier forecast thereof. The forecast of mortality is therefore decisive for the question of whether there is excess mortality in the method used by the State et al. Because the State et al. also determine this forecast with varying definitions and calculation methods, the positions taken by the State et al. regarding excess mortality are incomprehensible.
64. A number of independent experts, including Prof. Dr. Ronald Meester and Drs. Herman Steigstra, who are eminently suitable and willing and have the knowledge and resources to conduct a scientifically substantiated study into excess mortality on the basis of pure, unprocessed data, have offered to conduct this study. The State et al. and Lareb, respectively, have refused to make the data available for such a study. This

proves that the State et al. are doing everything they can to prevent the proposition projected in the preferred reality that there was excess mortality during the so-called corona pandemic and afterwards cannot or can hardly be refuted by means of sound research. As **exhibit 119**, [REDACTED] et al. submit a copy of a message on platform X from a member of the House of Representatives dated 21 May 2025, which shows this.

65. That this excess mortality exists, [REDACTED] et al. have explained in the summons on the basis of the most objective data possible. The finding that there is a lot of excess mortality is entirely in line with the factual observation of [REDACTED] et al. and many others that the number of sudden deaths and serious illnesses - especially among people who have taken a Covid-19 injection - has increased sharply.
66. Furthermore, [REDACTED] et al. note that crystallizing what exactly the excess mortality is is not crucial for the present proceedings in which the answer to the question of whether the Covid-19 mRNA injection is a 'vaccine' or a 'bioweapon' is of crucial importance, so that the procedural debate should focus on this. After all, if the defendants made the administration of a bioweapon possible, their liability and the damage are established and the causal link between damage and unlawful act is also given. Against this background, the offer of evidence made in Chapter III of this Opinion is of great importance.

Admission and marketing authorization

67. In paragraph 5.1 of the conclusion of the answer, the State et al. discuss the development of the Covid-19 mRNA injections. This reading is diametrically opposed to the positions of [REDACTED] et al. in the summons from which it follows that there was no medical pandemic, which is demonstrated by the RKI minutes, among other things.
68. The question of whether a validly obtained marketing authorisation was granted by the EMA is not decisive for the question of whether the State et al. acted unlawfully. It should be clear that [REDACTED] et al. have substantiated and provided evidence that the so-called pandemic was only projected onto the civilian population in the preferred reality, so that a formally granted marketing authorisation carries no weight in the context of assessing the unlawfulness of the actions and omissions of the State et al. In addition, and for this purpose the specific offer of evidence made in Chapter III of this Opinion is important, that American regulations assume that a 'vaccine' by definition harms public health and that under American medical law there is no precautionary principle to protect people against 'vaccine damage'. Furthermore, it can be demonstrated that under American law it was permitted to have up to 50% DNA scrap instead of mRNA as contamination in the injection fluid during the production of the Covid-19 mRNA injections. This was allowed because large amounts of DNA scrap were measured in the mRNA injection fluid produced during production and there were no technical possibilities to prevent this during large-scale production. Note: the production process of Covid-19 mRNA injections is the same all over the world.
69. The nominated international experts Katherine Watt and Sasha Latypova know all the released American documents and regulations in this regard like no other and are

ready to provide your court with a fully substantiated explanation on the basis of official documents and documents under oath and to report as witnesses from their own direct observations on the course of events surrounding the production and the granting of the American and European permits.

70. Another very important reason why the formal marketing authorisation is not decisive is the fact that the registration of data in VAERS and other systems shows that there are enormous differences in 'side effects' of different batches of Covid-19 injections. This means that the different batches cannot be regarded as the same product. It inevitably follows that the marketing authorisation does not cover the different batches of Covid-19 mRNA injections that were administered.

Unlawful conduct

71. The positions of the State et al. in paragraph 6.1 et seq. concern a repetition of the official narrative as projected in the preferred reality for the implementation of the Covid-19 project: The Great Reset. At a time when there are now countless victims who have lost their health and/or lives due to Covid-19 mRNA injections, the State et al. persist in propagating this false narrative. The core of the justification in this narrative is that there is a serious global pandemic caused by a new Sars-Cov2 virus that would cause the new disease Covid-19.
72. The RKI files, which miraculously escaped global censorship, show that there has never been a medical pandemic. Against this background, it should come as no surprise that the Sars-Cov2 virus has not been isolated from a human anywhere in the world and has been identified according to the gold standard as a pathogen that causes the pretended disease Covid-19 in humans. In other words: the Truth is that there is no objective evidence for the narrative of the State et al. and all the data they rely on in the preferred reality for the implementation of the project Covid-19: The Great Reset were manufactured by means of large-scale manipulation.
73. For those who want to see and hear, it should be clear that if there had really been a pandemic, the large-scale deployment of media, influencers, police, Romeos and justice would not have been necessary to make the population aware of the risks to their health.
74. In view of the above, the State et al. knew, or at least should have known, that there was no medical pandemic and that their measures taken on the basis of the non-existent pandemic against the population, including [REDACTED] et al., were by definition unlawful. Those measures – including lock-downs, mandatory face masks, curfews, mandatory social distancing, visiting bans and increasing social pressure to undergo a toxic mRNA injection – severely affected the most fundamental and inviolable rights of the population (including Article 7 ICCPR) without any legal justification for this.
75. The summons and the facts, arguments and evidence presented in this statement of defence demonstrate that everything that the State et al. put forward is done in order to implement the Covid-19: The Great Reset project and that the claims of the claimants

are ready to be granted now that it has been established that the defendants are ignoring their unlawful conduct despite all the evidence produced in the summons and now in the answer and are ruthlessly pushing through the Covid-19: The Great Reset project assigned to them. Only in this context can ██████ et al. state that on 2 June 2025 Agema did not answer questions from members of the House of Representatives dated 29 January 2025 regarding the agreements made with NATO. It goes without saying that in response to the questions from the members of the House of Representatives on 18 February 2025 Agema reported that it regretted not being able to answer the questions within the usual period. The parliamentary documents relating to these parliamentary questions are submitted as **exhibit 120**.

Grafeen-oxide

76. In paragraph 6.3 of the statement of defence, the State et al. dispute the presence of graphene oxide in the Covid-19 mRNA injections.
77. In the summons, ██████ et al. demonstrated, using the package insert of a manufacturer of Covid-19 mRNA injection fluid (Pfizer), that the manufacturer itself states in the package insert that the injection fluid contains main ingredients with code names ALC-0315 and ALC-0159. These code names are explained by nothing other than the Chinese patent submitted by ██████ et al. as exhibit 43. The claims of this patent describe that graphene oxide in the injection fluid serves as a carrier for the nano lipid particles (NLPs) in which the mRNA is located.
78. These NLPs are produced and sold under these code names by, among others, the company Sinopeg in China, which offers them for sale for scientific research purposes under precisely these code names and explicitly states on its website that these NLPs contain graphene oxide. As **exhibit 121**, ██████ et al. submit information relating to Sinopeg from which this is evident, as well as with regard to possible applications of graphene oxide described in patents, literature and on the internet.
79. In the opinion of ██████ et al., this clearly demonstrates that the Covid-19 mRNA injections contain graphene oxide as the main ingredient, according to the manufacturer's specifications. The fact that the CBG, fact checkers and other executors of the Covid-19 project in the preferred reality deny the presence of graphene oxide against their better judgment is apparently done for strategic reasons. The mere concealment of the presence of graphene oxide, which is toxic to humans, in the Covid-19 mRNA injections makes it clear that this is in fact a bioweapon with which genocide is committed. Damage, unlawful conduct, causal connection and attribution as legal conditions for the liability of the State et al. have already been established.

Pfizer Report

80. In paragraph 6.4 of the statement of defence, the State et al. discuss the Pfizer report. This report is based on 'cases of Adverse Events' from the period from 1 December 2020 to 28 February 2021. These cases were supplied to Pfizer by various third parties and selected under conditions set by Pfizer to be included in the research database that

Pfizer used for this report. One of the conditions for the selection of cases was 'a complete workflow cycle'. This methodology is clearly described on pages 5 and 6 of the report. The Pfizer report was submitted as exhibit 40 in the summons.

81. It follows from the above that the research database does not contain nearly all registered cases. The number of vials is therefore of no significance for this research. This is not stated in the Pfizer report either. The number of doses or vials has indeed been blacked out in the version that █████ et al. have, but not by █████ et al. The State et al. undoubtedly have a copy that does show this number. █████ et al. request your court to order The State et al. to introduce an unblacked out page 6 of this report into the proceedings.
82. The point is that research into the 42,086 cases examined showed that 1,223 people had died from the Pfizer Covid-19 mRNA injection in a short period of time, 11,361 people had suffered Adverse Events in the aforementioned short period (and had still not recovered from them at the time of the report) and 520 people had suffered irreparable damage from the Pfizer mRNA injections (already at that time). In short, it is clear that the Pfizer mRNA injections are dangerous and deadly and therefore anything but 'safe and effective'. If the State et al. – who had this information – had not kept these more than a thousand deaths and thousands of injection victims under wraps, █████ et al. would not have had the Covid-19 injection administered. Furthermore, on page 9 of the report, under 'missing information', it can be read that the 'vaccine Effectiveness' is unknown. According to this report, the deadly risks were therefore offset by an unknown 'effectiveness'. It follows that under no circumstances should we speak of 'safe and effective'.
83. In the context of not being 'safe and effective', █████ et al. also refer to Appendix I of the report, which contains nine pages full of life-threatening 'Adverse Events Of Special Interest (AESIs)' that are horrifying and would undoubtedly have removed all 'vaccination willingness' from █████ et al. if they had known about them.
84. The concealment, censorship and ridicule of thousands of 'cases' concerning people who lost their lives or health to a substance that, as this report shows, was wrongly promoted as 'safe and effective' with the false slogan 'you do it for someone else' indicates that in fact we are dealing with a bioweapon with which genocide is being committed. Damage, unlawful conduct, causal connection and attribution as legal conditions for the liability of the State et al. have already been established here.
85. In the meantime, a former employee of the Ministry of Defence in the United Kingdom, Mr Nick Hunt, has legally ensured that Pfizer had to release another report that it also wanted to withhold. This report is dated 12 March 2024 and is submitted as **exhibit 122**. In this report, a limited number of AESIs were investigated by Pfizer. These mainly concern heart-related AESIs. The increased risks are expressed in this report in a so-called Hazard Ratio (HR). This Hazard Ratio expresses how much greater the chance of the AESI in question the Pfizer Covid-19 mRNA injection entails. For example, a Hazard Ratio (HR) of 1.3 means a 30% greater chance of the AESI in question. Furthermore, this report mentions a Confidence Interval (CI) for the AESI in question in relation to the HR determined in

question. This expresses that it is 95% certain that the actual true HR lies within the stated interval of HRs. From this report it must be concluded that those who took a Pfizer Covid-19 mRNA injection have up to a 40% higher chance of the AESIs in question. For further explanation, █████ et al. submit as **exhibit 123** an article from the Daily Sceptic dated 8 October 2024 with a contribution on this subject from Mr Nick Hunt mentioned above.

86. It is clear from the above that █████ et al. rightly relied on the Pfizer report and that the (final) conclusions they drew from it are entirely correct.

Aarhus Convention

87. The position of █████ et al. that the Aarhus Convention has been wrongly not applied is correct, regardless of which Covid-19 injection █████ et al. had administered. The fact that the Dutch population has been denied the legal protection guaranteed as a fundamental right by this treaty without any medical reason (no pandemic) makes the actions of the State et al. unlawful.

Excesses

88. In paragraph 6.6 of its conclusion, the State et al. address the disgusting excesses raised by █████ et al., broadcast via the public broadcaster, which is fully controlled by the State et al. These excesses occurred in the context of the Covid-19 project: The Great Reset and served the purpose that the State et al. was pursuing, namely to force a life-threatening Covid-19 injection and to divide the Dutch civilian population, including █████ et al., into two camps. Since the State et al., as executors of the Covid-19 project, had it in their power to prevent these excesses and deliberately failed to do so, the unlawfulness of these excesses has been established and must also be attributed to the State et al.

Individual accusations against natural persons personen

89. All defendants have implemented the Covid-19 project: The Great Reset and have failed to protect the Dutch population against this attack in which fundamental rights were violated, material and immaterial damage was caused and a bioweapon was used. The unlawfulness of their actions has been established because this failure alone conflicts with the social duty that rests on them in view of their function and social position. All statements in the summons with regard to the individual defendants remain in force and have been extensively explained by █████ et al. in this conclusion. In addition, █████ et al. make a number of specific comments.

Hofstra

90. As a GGD doctor and OMT member, Hofstra publicly defended the false Covid-19 narrative and propagated it, for example, through regular contributions to TV programs of Omrop Fryslan. This while, as an OMT member, he had at least the same knowledge as follows from the autopsy reports discussed above in the RKI files. As **exhibit 124**, █████ et al. submit an example of a contribution by Hofstra to Omrop Fryslan dated November 4, 2020 in which Hofstra publicly proclaims, among other things, that stricter measures had to be taken quickly, as well as a contribution by

Hofstra to RondOm Drachten in which he further propagates the false Covid-19 narrative.

Van Dissel

91. As an OMT member, Van Dissel publicly defended the false Covid-19 narrative and spread it, for example, through regular contributions to TV programs and press conferences, while as OMT chairman he had at least the same knowledge as follows from the RKI files and autopsy reports discussed above.

Koopmans

92. As an OMT member, Koopmans publicly defended the false Covid-19 narrative and spread it, for example, through regular contributions to TV programs, while as an OMT member she had at least the same knowledge as follows from the RKI files and autopsy reports discussed above.

Rutte

93. As Prime Minister, Rutte publicly defended the false Covid-19 narrative and spread it, for example, through regular contributions to TV programs and press conferences, while as Prime Minister he had at least the same knowledge as follows from the RKI files and autopsy reports discussed above.
94. Rutte, an executor of the Covid-19: The Great Reset project, has recently become the face of NATO and is therefore responsible within that organization for the information operations and psyops with which the Western world is completely destroyed with the ultimate goal of The New World Order. The continuation of his unlawful actions is evident.

Kaag

95. Kaag's bare denials are not to be taken seriously given her function and social position and responsibility. Kaag is clearly an executor of the global project Covid-19: The Great Reset.

De Jonge

96. As for De Jonge, [REDACTED] et al. point out that before De Jonge unleashed his propaganda for the Janssen Covid-19 injection on the Dutch population, he had already been informed by the RIVM in an email dated 14 June 2021 regarding the serious effects of this drug on the health of young people. Nevertheless, he continued his propaganda 'Dancing with Janssen' and 'Sjanssen met Janssen' for the Janssen Covid-19 injection. This shows the malicious intent of this executor of the Covid-19 project: The Great Reset. These videos are part of NATO's psyops and InfoOps. A copy of the email from VWS dated 14 April 2021 as well as three 'videos' concerning the propaganda by De Jonge referred to here are submitted as **exhibit 125**.

Kuipers

97. As regards Kuipers, it is particularly true that he is the one who, with knowledge of the contents of the RKI files, did everything he could to prevent such information from being released in the Netherlands, which could jeopardize the implementation of the Covid-19: The Great Reset project. Kuipers' malicious intent as the executor of this project is reflected in this. As regards the systematic violations of information rights by Kuipers, [REDACTED] et al. submit an article from the Volkskrant dated 21 November 2023 as **exhibit 126**. In this context, reference is also made to the already submitted exhibit 109 in which Kuipers refused to be open with the words: 'I refuse to disclose the documentation from the OMT that you requested, including the audio recordings, transcripts and an overview of the other reports from the OMT'.
98. The court of Noord-Holland, location Haarlem, has, following the aforementioned rejection in a procedure with a political party as applicant, ordered the Ministry of Health, Welfare and Sport, as [REDACTED] et al. understand it, to deposit 29 OMT recordings with the registry of the court. [REDACTED] et al. request your court to order the State to agree to the introduction of these OMT recordings in these proceedings.

Gommers

99. Gommers has clearly participated in a NATO-led PsyOp to incapacitate healthcare personnel with toxic Covid-19 injections in order to further strain healthcare capacity in order to project a pandemic into the preferred reality.
100. Gommers, who knew everything about the Pfizer trials, the RKI documents, the pathological examinations, etc., must be held responsible for the death of Monique [REDACTED] (plaintiff sub 7), who was one of the first healthcare workers to be killed under the influence of the PsyOp carried out by Gommers by means of toxic Covid-19 injections.
101. Lizette Verhoeven from Eindhoven reported on the operation of the PsyOp, of which Gommers is a co-executor. She had a Covid-19 Astra Ze Neca injection administered in full confidence because she did not know any better than that it was safe. Shortly after the injection, she suffered a cerebral haemorrhage. From her bed, Gommers heard the injections, which had almost cost her her life, being promoted on TV with full conviction as 'safe'. Ongehoord Nederland reported on this in a TV broadcast. [REDACTED] et al. submit the video of Ongehoord Nederland dated 15 September 2023 as **exhibit 127**.
102. [REDACTED] et al. consider the Latin meaning of the name Astra Ze Neca to be clear evidence that the toxic Covid-19 injections sold under her name were specially produced for the benefit of the project Covid-19: The Great Reset. In that project, Astra Ze Neca was The Star of Death with her most lethal injections. As **exhibit 128**, [REDACTED] et al. submit a Google translation of the name Astra Ze Neca, which translated from Latin to Dutch means 'The Star of Death', as well as information about Astra Ze Neca as a member of the WEF.

Hoekstra

103. Hoekstra acknowledges being a member of the WEF and acknowledges not having opposed ('no unconditional commitment') the implementation of the Covid-19: The Great Reset project, the content of which he demonstrates in his conclusion to be fully familiar with. Hoekstra will take up his new duties as European Commissioner responsible for the PsyOp of the 'Green Conversion'. This is part of the StratCom information operations and PsyOps in the field of climate for the implementation of the Covid-19: The Great Reset project. Hoekstra will continue unabated in his role as co-implementer of the Covid-19: The Great Reset project, albeit from a different subject.
104. That Hoekstra is a ruthless executor of the Covid-19: The Great Reset project is evident from the apparent ease with which positions defended for years are exchanged for new positions - as long as those new positions are in the strategic interest of the Covid-19: The Great Reset project. For example, during a session on October 6, 2023 in the context of his appointment as European Commissioner, Hoekstra presents himself as the great change agent of his party when it comes to the conversion to 'Green', only to declare a little later on or around October 16, 2023 that he only plays a modest role in his party - this while he was the parliamentary group leader and top candidate of his party -.
105. As is the case for all defendants, Hoekstra is also aware of everything, given his positions: the RKI files, the autopsy reports, the Pfizer studies, the order to Hugo de Jonge to eliminate healthcare personnel and enforce the highest possible 'vaccination willingness', the non-existence of a medical pandemic, etc. The position that he was unaware of this cannot help him, because as the wind blows, so blows his skirt.

Van Nieuwenhuizen

106. With regard to Van Nieuwenhuizen, it is the case that, like all defendants, she is aware of everything: the RKI files, the autopsy reports, the Pfizer studies, the order to Hugo de Jonge to eliminate healthcare personnel and enforce the highest possible 'vaccination willingness', the non-existence of a medical pandemic, etc.
107. Given her position, Van Nieuwenhuizen should not have failed to protect the citizens of the Netherlands against the implementation of the Covid-19: The Great Reset project. The fact that she failed to do so and also completely undermined the legal protection that the Aarhus Convention could have offered means that she is liable to [REDACTED] et al. as co-executor of the Covid-19: The Great Reset project. Van Nieuwenhuizen will continue with this project in her new position as chair of Energie Nederland, the trade association of energy companies. In this position, she is responsible for the StratCom information operations and PsyOps component in the field of climate for the implementation of the Covid-19: The Great Reset project.

Sijbesma

108. As is the case for all defendants, Sijbesma, given his positions, is also aware of everything: the RKI files, the autopsy reports, the Pfizer studies, the order to Hugo de

Jonge to eliminate healthcare personnel and enforce the highest possible 'vaccination willingness', the non-existence of a medical pandemic, etc. That Sijbesma did not know what he was doing is utter nonsense.

109. Sijbesma pretends not to have understood that the plaintiffs' claims relate to the material and immaterial damage that plaintiffs suffer because they were placed in a preferential reality by defendants, including Sijbesma, and in that preferential reality they suffered from completely unnecessary measures. Setting up that preferential reality is exactly what Sijbesma did when, among other things, setting up the test streets and mediating in the purchase of Covid-19 injections. The same applies to the broadcast on Buitenhof where he waves his measuring stick in front of students to maintain the one and a half meter distance from fellow human beings prescribed in the preferential reality.
110. Any conflicting interest is simply denied by Sijbesma with his many incompatible positions and interests. A clear example of an enormous conflict of interest involving large amounts of government money is related to the fact that Hans Sijbesma, Sijbesma's brother, is a director at Astra Ze Neca. Astra Ze Neca, like Sijbesma, is a prominent member of the WEF (vide exhibit 128). Regarding the contacts with his brother, Sijbesma stated on 8 June 2020 that he 'knows the director and chairman of Astra Ze Neca well and has had contact with him'. Sijbesma further stated that during these contacts with Astra Ze Neca he expressed the interest that the State and other countries have in evaluating whether they might consider a deal with Astra Ze Neca. The State subsequently made the first purchase of Covid-19 injections from Astra Ze Neca for an amount of 700 million euros. A clearer conflict of interest is hardly conceivable. As **exhibit 129**, [REDACTED] et al. submit information regarding the purchase from Astra Ze Neca.
111. In August 2020, the State et al. formally stated that Sijbesma performs his duties as a special envoy entirely selflessly. In response, Sijbesma stated, among other things, that he is happy to contribute in the national interest by providing non-binding advice and sharing his insights into relevant developments surrounding vaccines. Both statements are therefore projections in the preferred reality and show that the State and Sijbesma are jointly implementing the project: Covid-19: The Great Reset.
112. In the preferred reality, Sijbesma offered his services to the cabinet free of charge. The truth is that all parties are participating in the psyops and information operations that are necessary for the implementation of the Covid-19 project: The Great Reset. In this project, Sijbesma was called in as a facilitator, given his managerial experience in the industry, his loyalty to the WEF and his important role in the Covid-19 project: The Great Reset. In order to enable Sijbesma to fulfill this role as facilitator and to be able to contact all parties, he was given the guise of special corona envoy in the preferred reality.
113. Incidentally, just before the introduction of the official Covid-19 narrative that Covid-19 is a disease that originated as a result of the Sars-Cov2 virus that was transmitted from a bat to a human at an animal market in Wuhan, Sijbesma joined the WEF

Supervisory Board on August 23, 2019, with the task of overseeing the WEF's mission and values. Six months later, in March 2020, he took office as 'special corona envoy' and did exactly what the WEF expected of him.

114. Sijbesma, now also a man of the 'climate' in the preferred reality, continues unabated with the implementation of the project Covid-19: The Great Reset. As applies to all defendants, Sijbesma is also accused of unlawful failure to oppose the implementation of this project.

Causal relationship

115. The causal link between the material and non-material damage suffered by the claimants and the group liability for co-implementing the Covid-19: The Great Reset project is given because without their cooperation in the implementation of this project (in the Netherlands), the claimants would not have had to undergo any measures and would therefore not have suffered any material and/or non-material damage.

Injury

116. The damage suffered by the claimants is on the one hand material and consists of personal injury, psychological damage as a result of the injections and economic damage as a result of a loss of income and assets as a result of the measures they had to undergo. On the other hand, their damage is immaterial due to the sorrow about the injustice done to them and their loved ones, including claimant sub 7, Monique [REDACTED] who lost her life to this injustice, pain and mental loss in the form of deprived joy of life. Even if the claimants had not had a Covid-19 injection, they would have suffered material and immaterial damage, albeit to a lesser extent.

V. Response to the conclusion of Bourla's answer

117. Bourla's conclusion of reply does not cover any other topics than those already discussed in this conclusion of reply. [REDACTED] et al. have already discussed in detail the preferential reality, the marketing authorisation, the Pfizer report dated 30 April 2021, graphene oxide, the unlawful conduct, the causal link and group liability. [REDACTED] et al. explicitly point out the absence of a medical pandemic and the major differences in Pfizer batches, so that for that reason alone there can be no question of a 'vaccine' and a valid marketing authorisation. [REDACTED] et al. refer to their previously recorded position on all topics raised by Bourla in order to avoid unnecessary repetition.
118. Moreover, it also applies here that [REDACTED] et al. maintain all their positions from the summons, whereby Bourla can be granted that the Pfizer report of April 30, 2021 was wrongly classified as a clinical test by [REDACTED] et al. The improved and correct view of [REDACTED] has been submitted for this in this reply.
119. In addition to what [REDACTED] et al. have already stated, they note the following to further substantiate Bourla's liability.

120. Bourla, given his knowledge and experience with mRNA products, must be considered fully aware of the fact, known in the medical world, that medical products based on mRNA technology and NLPs are very risky to human health. For this reason, the mRNA platform from the Covid-19 mRNA injections is in itself a bioweapon. [REDACTED] et al. provide explicit evidence of this statement and refer in this context once again explicitly to Chapter III of this conclusion of reply.
121. [REDACTED] et al. note in response to Bourla's statements in paragraph 95 et seq. regarding the differences in batches that these differences are clearly different. To this end, data analyst Wouter Aukema used data from official databases to visually illustrate the extent and severity of the 'side effects' of various batches of Pfizer Covid-19 mRNA injections as reported to the Paul Ehrlich Institute in Germany using special software. As **exhibit 130**, [REDACTED] et al. submit Aukema's visual representation, which shows that there are very large differences in the effect of batches. Furthermore, Aukema has discovered that injections were administered in various postcode areas that had no effect whatsoever and could have been nothing more than an apparent saline solution. Aukema made an overview of these postcodes (**exhibit 131**). [REDACTED] et al. provide explicit evidence of the differences in Pfizer Covid-19 injection badges by hearing Mr. Aukema and the witnesses/experts referred to in Chapter III. The differences in batches, including the apparent saline solutions, already make it abundantly clear that this concerns the execution of a project and not the combating of the pretended medical Covid-19 pandemic.
122. With regard to the statements of [REDACTED] et al. specifically with regard to Pfizer batch EM0477, [REDACTED] et al. refer to an article from the *Andere Krant* dated 20 December 2024 (**exhibit 132**). This article shows that no fewer than forty deaths have been reported in the Netherlands in a period of 11 days, the deaths of which can be attributed to having a Covid-19 mRNA injection from the Pfizer batch EM0477.
123. There are even more remarkable things going on with regard to this Pfizer batch EM0477, which can only be qualified as a 'killer batch'. The following applies to this. No less than half of the killer batch EM0477 was withdrawn from the free stock in the Netherlands at the beginning of February 2021. This is evident from the registration of this killer badge because only half of it was delivered and that this delivery stopped after yet another death in connection with this batch was reported to Lareb the day before, on 5 February 2021. The administration of this badge was then stopped, which indicates that at least the person who intervened was aware that this batch was very deadly. The information regarding the registration of this batch in the Netherlands is submitted as **exhibit 133**.
124. As regards Bourla's group liability, [REDACTED] et al. note that Bourla, in his position, has knowledge of the Covid-19 project: The Great Reset, the Pfizer trials, the RKI documents, the pathological examinations, etc. The fact that Bourla persists in denying all involvement and knowledge and in the meantime continues to project the preferred reality makes him liable. Bourla is also liable in connection with his unlawful failure to stop this malicious project.


125. In paragraphs 107-109 of Bourla's Conclusion of Reply, he addresses the question of whether 'Comirnaty' is a product that works on the basis of gene therapy and responds to the statements on this in the summons. In doing so, Bourla relies on definitions from EU legislation. In this conclusion of reply, █████ et al. have taken their definitive position that there is no question of a 'vaccine' at all, but only of a bioweapon. In view of this, the application of the EU definitions regarding medicines can be left undecided because the use of a bioweapon on the Dutch population, including █████ et al., is by definition unlawful.
126. █████ et al. have provided conclusive evidence that this is a bioweapon by demonstrating that Bourla's claims regarding the rapid breakdown of mRNA in the body and the local effect of his 'Comirnaty' in the Delta muscle of the arm are very far-reaching lies that had no other purpose than to maximize the 'vaccine willingness' to be injected with this bioweapon.
127. Finally, Bourla's direct competitor, Stephane Bancel of Moderna, had already been informed in 2019 about the pretended pandemic (exhibit 118). Apparently, this information regarding the Covid-19: The Great Reset project was known in advance to CEOs of companies in the 'vaccine development'. This also applies to Bourla.

VI. Response to the conclusion of the answer by Van Cann and Jansen

128. Also in this response by █████ et al. to the conclusion of the answer by Van Cann and Jansen, only those propositions will be addressed that have not yet been refuted or addressed in this conclusion of reply (in a more general sense or with regard to another defendant). The following applies in this regard.
129. In paragraph 4 of the statement of defence, Van Cann and Jansen state that the choice of the claimants not to summon the employers of Van Cann and Jansen, but to address them privately, would be based on a strategy set out by the Stichting Recht Oprecht, of which █████ et al. (and their lawyers) would be part. However, in reality, the Stichting Recht Oprecht has no influence whatsoever on the procedure or the content of the procedural documents drawn up by the lawyers on behalf of █████ et al. Van Cann and Jansen, who pretend to practice honest journalism, thus demonstrate how they despise the Truth. The reason for summoning Van Cann and Jansen privately is obvious: they personally acted unlawfully towards █████ et al. by projecting a preferential reality onto the civilian population as co-executors of the project Covid-19: The Great Reset, as a result of which █████ et al. were misled into having a Covid-19 injection administered.
130. The actions and omissions of Van Cann and Jansen resulted in the preferred reality being broadcast with great transmission power via the Telegraaf and the NOS. By placing █████ et al. in this preferred reality in this way (and by failing to provide a strong counter-voice), the deception of █████ et al. went so far that they had Covid-19

injections administered. If Van Cann and Jansen had taken their journalistic responsibility, this would not have happened.

131. In a case of great social and historical importance such as this, there is no point in suing media legal entities. It can be noted that the Telegraaf as a legal entity was prohibited for years after the Second World War from publishing a newspaper because of its Nazi propaganda during the Second World War and that it still exists despite this. Nazi propaganda is also a preferred reality. As history shows, suing a media legal entity in a case such as this is therefore evidently - and completely pointless.
132. Attention has already been paid to the NATO-led Information Operations and PsyOps in the implementation of the Covid-19 project: The Great Reset. In order to further clarify the position of the fully controlled media in this, including Van Cann and Jansen as journalists ultimately responsible, [REDACTED] et al. will go into this in depth in this section.
133. NATO does not have a standing PsyOp organization in the preferred reality. The truth is that it is constantly present to implement the Covid-19 project: The Great Reset to keep everyone in the preferred reality. NATO / USA (Pentagon) have set up the so-called CJPOTF (Combined Joint Psychological Operations Task Force) to increase the intensity of the preferred reality. The CJPOTF forms the network in which the PsyOp activities are carried out.
134. Below is an organizational chart with a table of contents of all NATO parties that have the task of keeping the Dutch population, including [REDACTED] et al. in a preferential reality for the implementation of the project Covid-19: The Great Reset. This with the aim of ultimately achieving a New World Order. The organizational chart shows that the 'Product Development Center' (PDC) included therein must have access to all media platforms such as radio, TV, newspapers and the internet.



135. PsyOps are conducted by specialized units under the command of the CJPOTF. The execution requires close coordination with Info Ops and other functions, such as Military Public Affairs and Civil-Military Cooperation (CIMIC). International organizations such as the UN and non-governmental organizations (NGOs) contribute to the stabilization and development of the PsyOp (preferred reality). Civil-military cooperation and interaction are essential for the success of a PsyOp.
136. In the Netherlands, in support of the organization as included in the organizational chart, there is the Joint Intelligence, Surveillance, Target Acquisition & Reconnaissance Command (JISTARC) and a Civil and Military Interaction Command (CMI Command) where approximately 500 people are continuously employed. Together with the support units in other NATO countries, this is an organization involving many thousands of military personnel who are exclusively dedicated to manipulating facts and events in such a way that a preferential reality is projected onto the civilian population, including [REDACTED] et al.

137. Within the aforementioned CMI Command (CMIC) is the Psyops platoon⁶, which focuses on psychological operations.
138. For PsyOps and Info Ops, full control through NGOs and fully controlled (local) media is required to place and keep the civilian population in a preferential reality. In the Netherlands, the Psyops platoon has access to the media and influential people, including Van Cann and Jansen. The way in which NATO enforces the necessary full control over the media and influential people is described in margin number A-22 (page 83) in the NATO STANDARD AJP 3.19. A copy of this is submitted as **exhibit 134**.
139. In the NATO STANDARD AJP 3.19. (exhibit 134) it is explained in marginals 4.15 and 4.16 that the leadership of CMIC must actively participate in the media landscape in such a way that they in fact stand behind the media makers and thereby shape the media environment and the perceptions broadcast by the media. In marginal 4.17 of the NATO STANDARD it is explained that the role of CMIC is to ensure that the civilian population accepts the broadcasted preferred reality as a 'new normal'. This explains the introduction by the media of terms such as 'vaccination willingness' and 'willingness to die' which, as part of a PsyOp, serve precisely this purpose.
140. Another essential part of CMIC is combating information that is harmful to the success of the Covid-19: The Great Reset project, or what Van Cann and Jansen call 'disinformation'. This combating is done with the help of PsyOps and InfoOps in the form of so-called 'fact checking' and 'debunking'. Van Cann and Jansen bear the ultimate responsibility for this at the media channels they manage. As **exhibit 135**, █████ et al. submit a screenshot of the NATO website regarding 'Covid-19 disinformation'.
141. Fact-checkers are, in military terms, 'a capacity' for combating disinformation. During the pretended Covid-19 pandemic, the weekly reporting of the "Monitoring Information Domain" (MID) consistently referred to "actions taken" when it concerned fact-checks. Even when the fact-checks themselves contained incorrect information. After all, it was about monitoring the Covid-19 narrative.
142. In the context of the Covid-19: The Great Reset project, Van Cann and Jansen have ruthlessly followed the military instructions that reached them via the criminal organizational structure described here, as a result of which the entire media landscape is controlled by NATO for the purpose of implementing the Covid-19: The Great Reset project in the Netherlands. If Van Cann and Jansen had personally withheld their cooperation and had fulfilled their journalistic task, they would have exposed all of this publicly. The fact that they did not do so is a serious criticism of them.
143. █████ et al. offer explicit proof of the existence of the level of organization described here and the fact that Van Cann and Jansen were guided by it, completely neglecting their journalistic tasks and responsibilities, which allowed the Dutch population to become victims of the preferential reality projected by Van Cann and Jansen.

Additional proof is offered by [REDACTED] et al. by hearing retired major general Van Kappen under oath. Furthermore, as additional proof of this, [REDACTED] et al. submit a screenshot of the CMIC website as **exhibit 136**.

VI. Response to Gates' conclusion of answer

144. Also in this response by [REDACTED] et al. to Gates' conclusion of reply, only those propositions will be addressed that have not yet been refuted or addressed in this conclusion of reply (in a more general sense or with regard to another defendant). The following applies in this regard.
145. Gates presents himself as a philanthropist in whom the world can place trust because he would do good and provide accurate information about health risks in relation to the disease Covid-19 and the Covid-19 injections developed against it. His statements in this regard are world news. He is one of the most famous people in the world⁵.
146. Gates also pretends not to have understood that the plaintiffs' claims relate to the material and immaterial damage that plaintiffs suffer because they were placed in a preferential reality by defendants, including Gates, and in that preferential reality they suffered completely unnecessary measures. Setting up that preferential reality is exactly what Gates does as co-executor of the Covid-19 project: The Great Reset, for example by deploying the Gates Foundation to combat 'virus infections' including 'corona' and by setting up enormous cash flows as investments as a 'philanthropist' to combat 'the Covid-19 disease'. The videos shown by [REDACTED] et al. (exhibits 75 and 76 with the summons) are illustrative of how Gates himself projects the preferential reality onto the world population.
147. Gates also does everything in his statement of defense to maintain the preferential reality and thus shows himself to be a co-executor of the Covid-19 project: The Great Reset. Gates, like the other defendants, also continues to maintain that the Covid-19 mRNA injections were safe and did not contain any toxic or dangerous substances such as graphene oxide. Gates further claims that the administration of this bioweapon would involve 'informed consent' from [REDACTED] et al., which is expressly disputed and is also not evident anywhere.
148. Gates is also trying to hide behind the facades of legal entities that are all co-executors of the Covid-19 project: The Great Reset in order to avoid his personal liability. Gates can be seriously accused of his actions now that, as a co-executor of that project, he has invested his fame and money as a 'philanthropist' in order to, among other things, increase the 'vaccination willingness' of the world population, knowing that in doing so, genocide was actually being committed with the use of a bioweapon. It should be noted for the record that the Covid-19 project: The Great Reset is being implemented through all kinds of sectors in society that ultimately determine how society functions. Gates exerts his influence in all these areas.

⁵ In this context, known does not at all mean 'knowing'.

149. As a 'philanthropist', getting involved with a lot of money in the Covid-19: The Great Reset project – what Gates calls 'investments' – and collaborating with co-executors in the context of that project is in itself unlawful and it is more than foreseeable that [REDACTED] et al. will suffer damage as a result, or at least that the chance of damage increases as a result. Given the genocide committed as a project and the foreseeable worldwide damage as a result of the 'Covid measures' implemented in the preferred reality, the foreseeable damage should have prevented Gates from participating in the group of co-executors of the Covid-19: The Great Reset project. It goes without saying that there is unlawful conduct on the part of the co-executors within the group, just as it is crystal clear that Gates, given his social position, knowledge and experience, must be attributed his participation in all of this.
150. [REDACTED] et al. point out once again that the CEO of Moderna already knew in 2019 what would come in the preferred reality and subsequently increased the production of the toxic Covid-19 injections for the following year ten thousand times (!). It is important to note that the patent submitted by [REDACTED] et al. in the summons as exhibit 72 does indeed relate to beta coronavirus mRNA injections and therefore - contrary to what Gates claims - has nothing to do with HIV. Gates acknowledges in his statement of defense that he has 'invested' in Pfizer and Moderna through this patent since 2011. Furthermore, the information submitted by [REDACTED] et al. as exhibit 73 regarding the Bill & Melinda Gates foundation is relevant, from which - also contrary to what Gates claims - it is clear that an agreement was concluded with Moderna in 2016 that applied not only to mRNA injections against HIV but above all to 'various infectious diseases'. In view of the aforementioned patent (exhibit 72 with the summons), it has been established that it mainly concerned the development of Covid-19 mRNA injections, whereby Gates also clearly had prior knowledge of the pandemic that, in the preferred reality, would be projected by him, among others, onto the world population. It is a well-known fact that Gates himself refers to his investments in 'vaccines' as his best investments ever. Gates has poured billions of dollars into the Covid-19: The Great Reset project in order to set up the New World Order. The investments in the 'vaccines' he so-called, including the toxic Covid-19 mRNA injections, are part of this. Below is an overview of these cash flows flowed by Gates, whether or not under the legal entities (facades) he uses.
151. In order to be able to present the financial interests or 'investments' in the co-executors of the Covid-19: The Great Reset project (facades) clearly to your court, an overview of these amounts is included below for illustrative purposes.

A MAN OF GREAT INFLUENCE...



152. In the context of the amounts paid by Gates for the projection of the preferred reality by media companies, Gates put USD 319,000,000 on the table under the facade of the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation under the facade of 'Committed grants'. A specification of this sum is submitted as **exhibit 137**. With his money, fame, relationships and legal entities, Gates has the opportunity to exercise great influence, including on the WHO. As evidence of this influence – which is incidentally a fact of common knowledge – [REDACTED] et al. submit information from Fox News as **exhibit 138** in which this is reported on using a Twitter message from Gates himself.
153. In view of the above, it is clear that while Gates (co-)set up the global pandemic exercises described in the summons and made his media appearances with a reach of billions of people worldwide, he had an interest in ensuring that the Covid-19 pandemic planned in the preferred reality would occur, which – as practiced – would require far-reaching 'Covid-19 measures' to follow.
154. Gates' influence on the 'corona policy' of governments is characterized by the fact that, under the guise of his legal entities, he directly and personally interferes with the rollout of the Covid-19 project: The Great Reset. An example of this is the direct contact that Gates has with the then WEF-trained and placed Prime Minister of New Zealand, Jacinda Ardern. Gates rewarded Ardern with an amount of USD 30,000,000 for her

efforts to make the 'vaccination willingness' as high as possible in New Zealand by intensively projecting the preferred reality there. Robin de Boer of Nine for News published a very informative article in this context, which is submitted as **exhibit 139**. That article also shows Gates' direct involvement in Germany with his 'Gates Foundation Paper' that the German RKI had to apply. Also submitted with exhibit 138 is a Forbes article dated 4 June 2022 showing the payment of USD 30,000,000 to Ardern as well as evidence that Ardern was trained by the WEF.

155. Further serious evidence of Gates' direct influence is his financial support for the British Medicines & Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA). The MHRA played a very bad role in censoring very disturbing data that reached it at the beginning of the Covid-19 injection campaign. This went so far that the MHRA even explicitly requested the Minister of Health in January 2021 to use the EMA to suppress reporting on the disturbing data throughout Europe ('to help kill the story'). This evidence is formed by released WhatsApp messages from early January 2021, the beginning of the genocide with the Covid-19 injection campaign. The MHRA also played a very bad role in suppressing the report of March 2024, submitted above as exhibit 123, which showed the greatly increased risks of the aforementioned AESIs. This same MHRA is funded by Gates. When asked about the financial flows from Gates, the MHRA refused to release data on this, apparently because of the influence exerted. The fact that Gates continues with all this and denies in the conclusion of the answer his very great influence and intertwining with the national authorities and government officials, speaks volumes. As **exhibit 140**, [REDACTED] et al. submit information from the internet regarding WhatsApps, the report withheld by the MHRA, Gates' financial involvement and the refusal of the MHRA to provide openness about the financial flows from Gates.
156. In order to deliver a final blow in this conclusion of reply to the position of all defendants that the Covid-19 injections do not contain any dangerous substances, [REDACTED] et al. submit as **exhibit 141** a peer-reviewed study from the International Journal of Vaccine Theory, Practice and Research published on October 11, 2024. This shows that the experimental Covid-19 injections contain at least 55 chemically unidentifiable elements and that all Covid-19 injections examined contain the dangerous heavy metal chromium. Furthermore, this study shows that arsenic, nickel, cobalt, copper, tin, cadmium, lead, manganese and mercury are found in the Covid-19 mRNA injections.

VII Response to Kant's Conclusion

157. Under paragraph 238 of the summons, [REDACTED] et al. only come to one explanation regarding Kant's remarks: Kant is lying. After reading Kant's conclusion of the answer, this explanation needs to be further clarified: Kant is lying through her teeth!
158. For a proper understanding of the positions of [REDACTED] et al., [REDACTED] et al. first of all explicitly point out that the concept of 'side effects' used by the defendants, including Kant, is derived from the preferred reality. The Truth, however, is that the Covid-19 injections are a bioweapon and the so-called 'side effects' in the preferred reality concern the damage intended with this bioweapon (a main effect). Where 'side effects'

are referred to below, this does not mean that [REDACTED] et al. follow the defendants in the preferred reality of 'vaccines' and their 'side effects'.

159. Kant's argument essentially boils down to the fact that Lareb used an internal reporting procedure when registering reports in such a way that no 'signals' became visible that required any intervention. Given the numbers of reported deaths and batch-related serious adverse events, this internal procedure qualifies as nothing more than the cover-up construction alleged by [REDACTED] et al. in the summons. Kant was indeed aware of the many reports of serious adverse events and the large and striking differences between the batches. It is noteworthy that Kant claims to have known that batch EM0477 was a terrible killer batch and nevertheless continues to hide behind the internal systems and procedures that she herself set up in the period from 2013 with the co-implementers of the Covid-19: The Great Reset project. After all, Kant states in paragraph 42 of her conclusion:

'No conclusions can be drawn from the number of reports regarding a specific batch number'


160. On 3 December 2020, an internal memo was drawn up by the RIVM, part of the Centre for Epidemiology and Surveillance of Infectious Diseases (EPI), led by the head, Dr. Susan van den Hof, in collaboration with Kant. In the preferred reality, this memo was intended to impress upon employees charged with the registration of adverse reactions the necessity of registering batch numbers. A large part of the memo therefore concerns the importance of registering batch numbers, which is in principle entirely justified because effective control is not possible without batch numbers. [REDACTED] et al. submit three pages of this memo - obtained via a whistleblower - as **exhibit 142**.
161. However, this note actually had a sinister purpose, namely to project a preferential reality onto the employees who were charged with the registration of 'side effects' in such a way that these employees would believe that it is normal for a 'vaccine' to have a 'specific property of a batch' and 'serious side effects'. The idea that there would be such a thing as a 'specific property of a batch' is introduced in this note in order to make the reader believe in the preferential reality that it is normal that not all batches of a medical product are (need to be) the same. The note is therefore a textbook example of the neuro-linguistic programming of the reader, for which this note was written by Kant.
162. This note argues that recording batch numbers is important for decision-making, giving the following example:
- 'warning persons who have received a particular vaccine or a particular batch of a vaccine due to (inter)national safety signals...'*
163. The Lareb employees who were informed with this note and processed the reports - and of course noted the batch numbers for all reports - have therefore absorbed the false information through this note that it is normal for there to be batches with specific properties and that if something serious were to appear wrong with a batch, people would be warned in that regard. The truth is, however, that all batches must be the

same and if that is not the case, action must be taken. The truth is also that no one is warned about the serious side effects in relation to a specific batch of a Covid-19 injection that has been administered to him or her.


164. The note was therefore necessary to also make employees at Lareb believe that despite 'signals' there were no batch-specific problems for which victims of extremely toxic batches of Covid-19 injections should be warned.
165. A good reading of this note also shows that Kant and Van den Hof were already aware on December 3, 2020 that in the Covid-19 injection campaign that would start the following month, several batches with 'specific properties' and 'serious side effects' would be distributed among the Dutch population, including ██████ et al. It follows that Kant and Van den Hof did exactly what they were appointed to do in the Covid-19: The Great Reset project, namely to mislead the public in order to make the large-scale Covid-19 injection campaign with Covid-19 injections possible. It is precisely for this reason that Kant set up a cover-up. This cover-up is crucial to keep the 'vaccination willingness' at a high level. In this way, Kant and Van den Hof played a crucial role in the genocide that is taking place with the help of a bioweapon. All authorities such as Lareb and EPI have applied the same gruesome cover-up construction worldwide.
166. The truth is that shortly after the start of the Covid-19 injection campaign in the Netherlands, Lareb was flooded with a huge number of reports of serious side effects and deaths that had occurred shortly after a Covid-19 injection. Kant had organized its organization in such a way that there was insufficient capacity to correctly process this flow of reports. Despite this lack of capacity, given the above, it was already completely clear to Kant in advance that the bioweapon would destroy the health and lives of many people. ██████ et al. have included a graph below showing the number of deaths actually reported in the period from January 10, 2021 to March 25, 2021. It should be noted that the actual deaths ('side effects') are many times greater. This graph shows that the use of the bioweapon actually started destroying human lives.

Vaccinaties en doden na vaccinatie per dag jan-mrt 2021

Gebaseerd op cijfers portal en open.minvws.nl



167. This overview clearly shows that as more Covid-19 injections were administered, more deaths occurred. The trend line shows that this increase in deaths occurred in people who had recently taken a Covid-19 injection. Before the injection campaign, there was no increase in deaths. Batch EM0477 alone caused no fewer than 33 reported deaths in the Netherlands in the period from January 25, 2021 to February 5, 2021. To this end, [REDACTED] et al. show the table below from the VAERS data.



**National Vaccine
Information Center**
Your Health. Your Family. Your Choice.

MedAlerts.org

Search Results

From the 11/4/2022 release of VAERS data (an older release, current is 11/29/2024):

Found 33 cases where Vaccine targets COVID-19 (COVID19 or COVID19-2) and Lot Number contains 'EM0477' and Patient Died and CDC Split Type contains 'NLPFIZER' and Vaccination Date on/before '2021-03-31'

Government Disclaimer on use of this data

Table		
Year,Month,Day of Vaccination	Count	Percent
2021-01-25	1	3.03%
2021-01-26	1	3.03%
2021-01-27	6	18.18%
2021-01-28	7	21.21%
2021-01-29	3	9.09%
2021-01-30	2	6.06%
2021-02-02	4	12.12%
2021-02-03	1	3.03%
2021-02-04	7	21.21%
2021-02-05	1	3.03%
TOTAL	33	100%

168. Also with regard to the deaths from batch EM0477, it must be remembered that the actual number of deaths is much higher than the reported number. Wherever this 'batch with specific properties', so called by Kant in the note, was administered in Europe, it became a battlefield with many deaths. In France, 105 deaths were reported, in Germany 66, in Spain 34, in Belgium 24, in Norway 21, in Denmark 16, in Ireland 6, in Malta 6, in Switzerland 4 and in Estonia 3⁷. The deaths reported for the Netherlands in this 'batch with specific properties' concerned people aged 65 and over, of whom 90 percent died in January and February 2021.
169. Killer batches such as EM0477, called by Kant 'a batch with specific properties', account for the vast majority of deaths that occur shortly after a Covid-19 injection. Research from Denmark has shown that only four percent of the Pfizer vaccines used in Denmark were responsible for 71 percent of all reported side effects. Those four percent included nine specific batch numbers, which were used early in the vaccination campaign. They also turned out to be linked to 47 percent of all reported deaths (579) in the Scandinavian country. Kant's claim that no conclusions can be drawn from the number of reports regarding a specific batch number is a blatant lie.
170. That Kant is lying through her teeth also follows from an internal email dated May 3, 2021 regarding feedback from the CBG-Lareb-RIVM-VWS safety monitoring consultation held on April 29, 2021. This email is submitted by ██████ et al. as **exhibit 143**. It includes, among other things:
- '...Lareb states that they have received many reports of (deep venous) thrombosis in a very short period of time, as a result of which they have decided to conduct additional research, which they presented in a separate meeting on Thursday. The CBG emphasizes the importance of a sound substantiation of findings. Only after the assessment of causality can they bring something to the EU/AZ, in which case observational research alone is insufficient...'*
171. The cover-up construction works in such a way that in the system set up by Kant, a report is completely pointless as long as its causality has not been assessed. It is clear that Lareb is not or completely insufficiently capable of doing so. ██████ et al. will go to the bottom of Kant's cover-up for further explanation - as far as they can at this stage of the procedure. To this end, the following.
172. ██████ et al. have already taken the position that all documents on which defendants rely are derived from the preferential reality and have no evidentiary value for the defendants' propositions. That position also explicitly refers to Kant. Defendants, in particular Kant, talk a lot in their statements of defence about 'scientific consensus'. The truth, however, is that what defendants call 'scientific consensus' is nothing more than the bag of tricks of evil illusionists, or rather the preferential reality. The documents on which Kant relies are also nothing more than that for those who want to see and hear. In order to show your court how Kant lies through her teeth, a number of propositions from her statement of defence are quoted below and provided with a commentary based on reason and true facts.

173. In margin number 27 of Kant's conclusion in response the following is stated:

'It is true that Lareb would actually be able to create overviews of registered adverse reactions per batch number. However, Lareb has not done this to date because it does not make sense for safety monitoring. Such overviews are not a suitable means of discovering possible batch-related problems. In order to discover possible batch-related problems, Lareb does perform so-called 'batch analyses'. During the COVID-19 pandemic, this was done once every 2 weeks. An automated analysis tool is used to compare the spectrum of reported adverse reactions per batch number with the spectrum of the other batches. If certain adverse reactions occur more often in a specific batch, these reports are examined more closely. However, the above does not result in a list, but in a signal. This signal indicates that a certain adverse reaction occurs more often in a certain batch'

174. This quote concerns a mendacious argument. After all, performing an effective 'batch analysis' also includes (also) making overviews of registered 'side effects' per batch number. These overviews clearly show the difference in the 'side effects' of the individual batches. The 'closer look' with regard to the incomplete batch analyses that Kant writes about means, in the final analysis, nothing more than 'doing nothing' with the already defective batch analysis and is a cover-up. A good reading of this quote therefore leads to Kant's unconditional recognition of the claimants' argument that there is a cover-up.

175. In marginal number 30 of Kant's conclusion of the answer the following is stated:

With regard to Lareb, the Minister considered the following:

Lareb has indicated that it does not have the requested documents, because such documents do not match the way in which Lareb works. Lareb works with a biweekly batch analysis. This batch analysis is part of the regular safety monitoring of vaccines. The batch analysis does not provide a list, as you requested, but only gives a signal if something occurs more often in a certain batch. The online article 'Optimizing Safety Surveillance for COVID-19 Vaccines at the National Pharmacovigilance Centre Lareb: One Year of COVID-19 Vaccine Experience' describes how the safety monitoring was carried out specifically for COVID-19 vaccines. Furthermore, the results of the batch analyses are shared on the Lareb website if an analysis produces results worth mentioning.'

176. The above quote from Kant's conclusion serves to mask her cover-up construction. At first glance, this quote gives the false impression that 'vaccine safety monitoring' is well-protected because there is a procedure described for this. That procedure should then actually be about 'vaccine safety monitoring'. The truth, however, is that that procedure does the opposite and constitutes irrefutable proof of Kant's cover-up. In order to demonstrate this, [REDACTED] et al. submit the online document 'Optimizing Safety Surveillance for COVID-19 Vaccines at the National Pharmacovigilance Centre Lareb: One Year of COVID-19 Vaccine Experience', derived from the preferred reality, as **exhibit 144**. To this end, the following.

177. The first thing that stands out in this document (exhibit 144) is that Kant himself is one of the authors. This already gives this document a high 'We from WC-eend advise WC-eend'

content. As co-authors, this document, which was published in the preferred reality under exclusive license from 'Springer Nature Switzerland AG' as a 'research article', mentions: Ingrid Oosterhuis, Joep Scholl and Florence van Hunsel (all affiliated with Lareb) as well as Eugène van Puijenbroek who, in addition to Lareb, is also affiliated with Pharmacotherapy, Epidemiology and Economics, University of Groningen and the Groningen Research Institute of Pharmacy. In short: the butcher inspects his own meat.

178. A further reading of this document exposes the pitfall that the authors of this document have dug for themselves and all co-defendants. To this end, the following. In this English-language document, which is difficult or impossible to read for the Dutch population, including [REDACTED] et al., the false Covid-19 narrative is repeated. An explanation is then given about the bi-weekly 'batch analysis', which turns out to be nothing more than a fully automated software process. The following is included in the right-hand column on page 68 of this document:

Standardised Morbidity Rates (SMR) were used to compare the number of spontaneously reported cases of the association of interest (Observed) in the COVID-19 vaccinated population with the Expected number based on Dutch background incidence rates within a risk window following immunisation, stratified per vaccine, dose, sex and age.

179. For a proper understanding of Kant's cover-up, this quote first requires an explanation regarding the concept of 'Morbidity'. Morbidity is the number of people with a disease in relation to the number of individuals in the population concerned within a certain period of time; also called the disease rate. For every disease, there is a standardized morbidity ratio in the Netherlands that compares these ratios of the occurrence of a certain disease in the Dutch population in a certain period of time with the total population. As an example, the morbidity of diabetes in the Netherlands was approximately 6% in 2024. This means that 6 out of 100 Dutch people had diabetes in 2024.
180. The automated Lareb process of 'Batch Analysis' set up by Kant is therefore based on a comparison of the standardized morbidity ratios in the Netherlands with the reporting percentage per morbidity for the Covid-19 vaccinations. This is - deliberately and maliciously - comparing apples with oranges because the underreporting of side effects is not included in this comparison (!). After all, 'side effects' are not reported until there is a suspicion that there is a relationship with 'the vaccination', but people who live in the preferred reality rarely have that suspicion. After all, in the preferred reality there are no or hardly any other 'side effects' of Covid-19 injections than fever and pain at the injection site. All other 'side effects' are 'very rare' in the preferred reality. Underreporting plays a much smaller role in a morbidity ratio. After all, for most people in the Netherlands with a disease (for example diabetes), that disease has been discovered and registered, so that there is much less underreporting of diseases than of 'side effects'. For this reason alone it is clear why the batch analysis by Kant's Lareb is a farce.
181. The truth is that this farce is even more malicious than this fact. Because what exactly was this batch analysis about? What 'association of interest' was it about? The answer to this can be read a few lines further:

'The batch analysis was performed for fever and for injection-site infection'

182. It is therefore a fact that the already corrupt batch analysis of Kant's Lareb - in accordance with the false Covid-19 narrative - only looked at reports of fever and pain at the injection site (!). A batch analysis should of course be performed for all reported side effects. Fever and injection pain are also a reaction that regularly occur with all so-called 'immunizations' in the preferred reality.
183. The Truth is that the 'safeguarding specifically for COVID-19 vaccines' is in reality Kant's cover-up. This cover-up plays a crucial role in maximizing the 'vaccine willingness' and the deception needed to continue to attack the Dutch population, including █████ et al., with a bioweapon.
184. Given this proven cover-up by Kant, it should come as no surprise that the source data and results of Kant's 'batch analyses', including the 'heat maps' mentioned in the document, were not made available together with this document. The reason for this is clear: it concerns a cover-up.
185. █████ et al. have stated that Lareb was inundated with reports of 'side effects'. In order to enable your court to form a picture of the extremely high number of reports of 'side effects' of the toxic Covid-19 injections, an explanation follows.
186. In the Netherlands Journal of Medical Microbiology 2019, volume 27, no. 3, a 'scientific article' entitled 'Different perspectives on influenza vaccination among healthcare professionals' states in the first column of page 139 that for the period 2010-2013 the reporting percentage for adverse reactions to influenza vaccinations at Lareb was approximately 0.0059%. That is 5.9 reports per 100,000 doses. █████ et al. submit this 'scientific article' as **exhibit 145**.
187. In the annual report of Kant's Lareb entitled 'Reports of adverse reactions after influenza vaccinations, influenza season, influenza season report 2019-2020' it is stated on page 1 that more than three million Dutch people are vaccinated against influenza every year and on page 5 it is stated that 491 reports of adverse reactions were made to Kant's Lareb in the influenza season 2018-2019. This translates into a reporting rate of (less than) 0.016%. That is (less than) 16.4 reports per 100,000 doses. █████ et al. submit this annual report as **exhibit 146**.
188. The aforementioned document written by Kant, 'Optimizing Safety Surveillance for COVID-19 Vaccines at the National Pharmacovigilance Centre Lareb: One Year of COVID-19 Vaccine Experience', states that Lareb received 184,411 individual reports for the 'Covid-19 vaccinations'. This translates into a reporting rate of 0.67% for the year 2021. That is 670 reports per 100,000 doses. This relatively extremely high number of reports shows that Kant did not care at all how many reports – the benchmark par excellence – were made. That was far more than could be expected from a 'safe vaccine' that had been 'tested to the max without cutting corners' and that was touted as a 'miracle' in the preferred reality. No number of reports of 'side effects' would be a reason for Kant to issue a warning to the Dutch population, including █████ et al. Kant acted fully in accordance with her mandate in the Covid-19 project: The Great Reset.

189. The truth is that if Lareb wanted to protect people from dangerous medical products, it would not ignore the size and seriousness of the reports themselves, as a yardstick. By doing so and not giving warnings to the Dutch population, including [REDACTED] et al., that brought the size and seriousness of the reports to light, the cover-up remained tight.
190. It should be noted that exhibit 4 submitted by Kant provides further evidence of the cover-up, as it also contains no information warning the Dutch population, including [REDACTED] et al., of the life-threatening risks of the Covid-19 injections, which are in fact a bioweapon.

VIII. final remarks regarding the dispute (with respect to all defendants)

191. As explained in this conclusion, the proceedings conducted in the courtroom between the parties cannot be viewed separately from the underlying spiritual battle. The word procedure comes from the Latin word 'procedere', which means 'to proceed'. This means that in this legal procedure, the judgment of your court can only be made definitive once the procedure has come to an end. The latter also applies to the underlying spiritual battle, with the understanding that the Last Judgment over the parties is not given by your court, but by God Almighty, for each according to his works. This battle is also ongoing and the outcome is not yet certain. Defendants can influence this Last Judgment through their works. Do they continue to build the Tower of Babel or do they tear it down?
192. The Last Judgment will be passed according to the word of God Almighty.

And I saw a great white throne, and Him who sat on it, from whose presence the earth and the heaven fled away, so that no place was found for them.

And I saw the dead, small and great, stand before God. And the books were opened: and another book was opened, which is the book of life.

And the dead were judged out of the things which were written in the books, according to their works. And the sea gave up the dead which were in it; and death and hell delivered up the dead which were in them: and they were judged every man according to their works.

And death and hell were cast into the lake of fire. This is the second death. And if anyone was not found written in the book of life, he was cast into the lake of fire.

(Revelation 20:11-15 KJV)

IX. Offer of evidence and burden of proof

To the extent that the burden of proof rests on [REDACTED] et al. pursuant to Article 150 of the Code of Civil Procedure, [REDACTED] et al. offer proof of all statements by all legal means. All defendants, all of whom are co-executors of the Covid-19 project: The Great Reset and who know the ins and outs of this project and who can explain from their own observations how they projected a preferential reality onto the Dutch people, including [REDACTED] et al., and harmed the Dutch population, including [REDACTED] et al., with the Covid-19 measures they implemented and/or propagated, may be heard as witnesses. Furthermore, [REDACTED] et al. refer to the specific offers of proof as included in this conclusion.

Specifically with regard to the assertion of [REDACTED] et al. that the spike protein expressed by the Covid-19 mRNA injections is found everywhere, continuously and permanently in the bodies of people injected with Covid-19 mRNA, [REDACTED] et al. offer to have Doctor (med.) Ute Krüger and Professor Doctor (med.) Walter Lang heard as witnesses under oath by your court.


With regard to the argument of [REDACTED] et al. that the Covid-19 injections are bioweapons with which genocide is committed in the context of the Great Reset to achieve a New World Order, [REDACTED] et al. refer once again to the offer of evidence made in Chapter III of this Opinion to have Ms Catherine Austin Fitts, Mr Dr Mike Yeadon, Ms Alexandra Latypova Mba, Ms Katherine Watt and Dr Joseph Sansone B.A. heard under oath by your court.

With regard to the burden of proof, defendants bear the burden of proof of the facts and statements that they derive from their own preferred reality, including the existence of a medical pandemic and the existence of the non-existent Sars-Cov2 virus.


WITH CONCLUSION

Deed requesting the increase of claim.

Everything persisted!



Arno van Kessel



Peter Stassen