

Northern Netherlands Court, Leeuwarden location
Casenumber/rollnumber C/17/199273 / HA RK 25/17

DEFENSE STATEMENT PROVISIONAL EVIDENCE ACTIVITIES

Regarding:

Mrs. Agnes Catharina VAN DER VOORT-KANT, choosing
residence in Amsterdam

Defendant

Lawyer: mr. A.H. Ekker

Against:

1. [REDACTED] living in Leeuwarden
2. [REDACTED], living in Brunssum
3. [REDACTED], living in Leeuwarden

Applicants

Lawyers: mr. A.G.W. van Kessel and mr. P.W.H. Stassen

INTRODUCTION

1. [REDACTED] c.s. have filed a request for provisional evidence pursuant to Articles 196 et seq. of the Code of Civil Procedure. Kant has taken cognizance of the statements of defence on behalf of the State of the Netherlands and various natural persons (together the "State") and of the statement of defence by Bourla.

KANT AGREES TO THE STATES DEFENS

2. Kant concurs with the above-mentioned defences and adopts all the positions of the State and Bourla as her own. In short, this means that [REDACTED] c.s. should be declared inadmissible in their request, or at least the request should be rejected due to conflict with due process and/or abuse of authority. The proposed persons cannot be regarded as objective and impartial experts and do not have the right qualifications. It is already clear from previous statements what they will state and that these statements will not provide irrefutable evidence of the positions of [REDACTED] c.s. [REDACTED] c.s. therefore have insufficient interest in hearing these experts. The request must therefore be rejected.

Amsterdam, 3 july 2025

Lawyer

This case is being handled by mr. dr. A.H. Ekker Ekker
Advocatuur
Panamalaan 6G
1019 AZ Amsterdam
T: +31 (0)6 43 77 53 35
anton@ekker.legal