

Arnhem-Leeuwarden Court of Appeal In

advance by registered email:

dagvaarding.hof.arnhemleeuwarden.lwr@rechtspraak.nl

With copy to:

reimer.veldhuis@pelsrijcken.nl willem.heemskerk@pelsrijcken.nl Marieke.Bredenoord@debrauw.com Davine.Roessingh@debrauw.com anton@ekker.legal laura@acr.amsterdam maartje.mohring@pelsrijcken.nl marit.velthuis@debrauw.com kloosterboer@ekker.legal

Regarding: e.a. / The

State e.a. Your ref.:

Our ref.: D100816

E-mail: stassen@uwadvocaten.nl

Eindhoven, 15 September 2025

Your Honorable Sir or Madam,

In the mentioned case I will send you an email in advance by registered mail:

- 1. This letter.
- 2. The notice of appeal against the order of the Leeuwarden District Court dated August 20, 2025 (breaking the ban on appeal, also containing a request for interim relief).
- 3. The V1 form with which I will personally submit this letter, the notice of appeal including attachments, and a USB stick in seven copies to your court today..

Regarding the formalities for filing this notice of appeal, I politely request, with reference to Articles 1.1.2.2 and 1.1.2.6, that a deviation from the Rules of Procedure be permitted regarding the number of copies to be submitted (two for the court and one for each interested party). The reason for this is, firstly, that the file is very extensive and there are seventeen defendants. Furthermore, the vast majority of the procedural documents are already in the possession of the defendants (or their lawyers) in connection with their appearance in the relevant proceedings before the District Court of Leeuwarden (substantive proceedings).

Page 2 of 2

15 September 2025

e.a. / The State e.a.

Furthermore, it is relevant in this regard that the defendants' lawyers already have the documents from the proceedings at first instance that preceded this appeal. The documents in both instances consist largely of digital information, some of which cannot be printed due to their nature (videos) or are so extensive that providing them on paper is virtually impossible, or at least cannot reasonably be required, and printing them serves no reasonable purpose. Furthermore, all documents relating to this appeal (at first instance and on appeal) are clearly organized digitally on the enclosed USB sticks, making them easy to share digitally.

I would also like to note that I do not (yet) have the transcript of the oral hearing in the court of first instance. However, the audio recording of the hearing made available by the court is attached on the USB stick.

As will become painfully clear upon reading the notice of appeal, the case brought before your court in this appeal is of great public importance. This importance is all the more compelling because it is accompanied by two extensive expert reports from internationally renowned experts, which explain and demonstrate, based on concrete evidence, that the Covid-19 injections are like a bioweapon. As you may know, a new round of Covid-19 injections begins today in the Netherlands. Partly against the backdrop of this urgency, a preliminary injunction is requested from your court.

Yours faithfully,



Peter Stassen